Indian and Northern Affairs Canada
Affaire indiennes et du Nord Canada

IMPACTS OF THE 1985 AMENDMENTS TO THE INDIAN ACT (BILL C-31)

2) SURVEY OF REGISTRANTS

Canada

IMPACTS OF THE 1985 AMENDMENTS TO THE *INDIAN ACT* (BILL C-31)

SURVEY OF ADULT BILL C-31 REGISTRANTS (MODULE 2)

Canadian Facts, A division of SK/CF Inc.

92-04223/

Published under the authority of the
Hon. Tom Siddon, P.C., M.P.,
Minister of Indian Affairs and
Northern Development,
Ottawa, 1990.

QS-3496-020-EE-A1
Catalogue No. R32-104/2-1990E
ISBN 0-662-18322-3

© Minister of Supply and Services Canada

Cette publication peut aussi être obtenue
en français sous le titre:

Répercussions des modifications de 1985 à la
Loi sur les Indiens (projet de loi C-31)
2. Sondage auprès des personnes inscrites

Table of Contents

Page

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION 1

1. Background 1
2. Objective of the Survey 2
3. The Sample 4
4. Exclusions 5
5. Database 5
6. Sample Design 5
7. Sample Selection 6
8. Data Collection 7
9. Summary of Analysis of Non-Response 9
10. The Questionnaire 10
11. Presentation of Survey Results 10

A. ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIOUR RELATED TO CHANGE IN STATUS 11

1. Overview 11
2. Status History 11
3. Reasons for Applying for Registration / Restoration 15
4. Changes Attributed to Gaining / Regaining Status 20
5. Awareness / Knowledge of Membership Codes 22

B. CURRENT RESIDENCE / DISPOSITION TO RETURN TO RESERVE 27

1. Overview....27
2. Current Residence....28
3. Residence On/Off Reserve Relative to Elapsed Time Since Registration....29
4. Residence History of Registrants Currently Living on Reserve....29
5. Residence History of Registrants Currently Living off Reserve....31
6. Movement to Reserves....33
7. Summary of Predisposition to Return by Region of Band of Affiliation....37
8. Summary of Current Residence / Disposition to Return / Move to Reserve by Year of Entitlement....39
9. Summary of Current Residence / Disposition to Return / Move to Reserve Among Registrants Who Have Previously Lived on Reserve....40
10. Summary of Characteristics of Registrants Indicating a Possibility of Return in 5 Years of Less....42
11. Anticipated Difficulties in Living on Reserves....44
12. Anticipated Difficulties in Moving to Reserve....47
13. Preference for Reserve Location....49
14. Anticipated Difficulties in Finding Housing on Reserves....49
15. Summary of Expectations / Actions Taken to Find Housing on Reserve....51

C. AWARENESS / USE OF PROGRAMS / SERVICES 53

1. Unaided Awareness of Benefits / Services....53
2. Awareness / Use of NIHB....54
3. Use of NIHB for Drug Benefits....55
4. Awareness / Use of Post-secondary Education Programs by Sex and Age Group....56
5. Awareness / Use of Programs by Period of Enrolment....57
6. Incidence of Receiving Financial Assistance....58

D. SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF REGISTRANTS 60

1. Overview....60
2. Characteristics of Registrants - by Region of Band of Affiliation....61
3. Characteristics of Registrants - by Sex and Age Group....67
4. Characteristics of Registrants - by Location of Current Residence Relative to Reserve....71

List of Tables

Table 1 Type of Entitlement.... 12
Table 2 Incidence of Removal of Mother's Name from Register.... 13
Table 3 Year Mother's Name Removed from Register 13
Table 4 Year Restoration's Name Removed from Register 14
Table 5 Reasons Cited for Applying for Registration / Restoration 16
Table 6 Other Reasons Cited for Applying for Registration / Restoration.... 18
Table 7 Reasons Cited for Applying for Registration / Restoration 19
Table 8 Changes Attributed to Gaining / Regaining Status.... 21
Table 9 Awareness of Membership Codes 23
Table 10 Claimed Knowledge of Membership Code Among Total Aware of Membership Code 24
Table 11 Claimed Knowledge of Membership Code Compared with Administrative Records 25
Table 12 Reported Participation in / Qualification for Band Membership Among Those Reporting Membership Code 26
Table 13 Current Residence by Region of Band of Affiliation 28
Table 14 Elapsed Time Since Entitlement by Current Residence.... 29
Table 15 Summary of Residence History Among Registrants Living on Reserve.... 31
Table 16 Summary of Residence History Among Registrants Living off Reserve.... 33
Table 17 Ideally Would Like to Live on a Reserve 34
Table 18 Possibility of Return / Number of Years in Future Among Those Currently Living off Reserve.... 36

Table 19	Possibility of Return / Number of Years in Future Among Those Currently Living off Reserve by Sex and Age Group	37
Table 20	Characteristics of Registrants' Living off Reserve Disposition to Live on a Reserve by Region of Band of Affiliation	38
Table 21	Summary of Current Residence and Disposition to Return / Move to Reserve by Year of Entitlement	39
Table 22	Summary of Current Residence and Disposition to Return / Move to a Reserve by Year of Entitlement Among Total Registrants Who Have Ever Lived on a Reserve	41
Table 23	Characteristics of Those Indicating A Possibility of Returning to Reserve in 5 Years or Less	43
Table 24	Perceived Difficulties in Living on Reserves	44
Table 25	Most Frequently Mentioned Types of Difficulty Associated With Living on Reserves Among Registrants Currently Living off Reserve - by Region of Band of Affiliation	45
Table 26	Other Types of Difficulty Associated With Living on Reserve Among Registrants Currently Living off Reserve Who Identify Any Difficulty	46
Table 27	Perceived Difficulties in Moving to Reserve by Region of Band of Affiliation	47
Table 28	Types of Difficulty Associated With Moving to Reserve Among Registrants Currently Living off Reserves Who Identify Any Difficulty	48
Table 29	Preference by Reserve Location	49
Table 30	Perceived Ease / Difficulty of Finding Housing on Reserve Among Off-reserve Registrants Indicating Any Disposition to Return	50
Table 31	Summary of Expectations / Actions Related to Housing on Reserve Among off Reserve Registrants Indicating Any Disposition to Return	52
Table 32	Unaided Awareness of Types of Benefits and Services Entitlement Through Registration by Sex and Age Group	54
Table 33	Awareness / Use of NIHB by Sex and Age Group	56
Table 34	Awareness / Enrollment in Post-secondary Programs by Sex and Age Group	57
Table 35	Awareness / Enrollment in Post-secondary Education Programs by Period of Entitlement	58
Table 36	Proportion of Those Enroled Receiving Assistance Each Year by Period of Enrolment and by Period of Entitlement	59
Table 37	Age Within Sex of Registrants	62
Table 38	Characteristics of Registrants, Sex, Age, Type of Application, Education, Employment Status by Region of Band of Affiliation	64

Table 39 Household Characteristics, Composition, Status of Partner, Household Income, Average Number of Persons by Region of Band of Affiliation 66
Table 40 Characteristics of Registrants by Sex and Age Group 68
Table 41 Household Characteristics by Sex and Age Group 70
Table 42 Location of Current Residence Relative to Reserve by Region of Band of Affiliation 72
Table 43 Region of Band of Affiliation of Registrants by Location of Current Residence Relative to a Reserve 73
Table 44 Characteristics of Registrants by Location of Current Residence Relative to Reserve 74
Table 45 Household Characteristics by Location of Current Residence Relative to a Reserve 76

Appendices

Appendix 1 Note on Interpretation and Use of Table Bases and Confidence Intervals A1
Appendix 2 Base Sizes Unweighted (Actual) and Weighted (Population Estimates) A4
Appendix 3 Advance Letter to Registrants A12
Appendix 4 English Questionnaire

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Background

- The survey of registrants was designed to obtain information on the perceptions and post-registration experiences of two groups of persons who were 18 years of age or more and applied for and were granted status for the first time under Bill C-31, and those who had lost their status and had it restored under Bill C-31.

Methodology

- In May 1990, a telephone survey was conducted among a randomly selected sample of 2,000 of those new registrations/restorations. Respondents were asked a series of questions about their:

  - attitudes/behaviour related to change in status;
  - current residence and previous residence on reserve;
  - disposition to return to/move to reserve;
  - actions to obtain housing on reserve; and
  - awareness and use of non-insured health and post-secondary education benefits;

Findings

- The most important category of reasons for applying for status were those relating to new registrants'/restorations' sense of personal identity and cultural heritage.

- Similarly, registrants identified the achievement/verification of personal identity as the most important change in their lives since entitlement. Receipt of health care benefits was also important to them. Younger registrants, under 25 years of age, were most likely to mention education benefits.

- The findings suggest that registrants lack information and may be confused about band membership codes and their application.

- The majority (92%) of new registrants/restorations live off reserve. Most, 62% of this subgroup have never lived on a reserve at any time. Of the 8% of the new registrants/restorations who currently live on a reserve, a majority of them resided there prior to their registration.

- One-half (52%) of the new registrants/restorations living off reserve indicate that they may possibly return to/move to a reserve at some time in the future. The proportion of all those living off reserve who said this might be in five years or less is 18%.

- One-half of all those living off reserve identify one or more features of the reserve that would make it difficult for them to live there. The main obstacles are employment, which was mentioned by 30%, isolation (16%), lack of available housing (16%), anticipated problems of adjustment (8%), and attitudes toward Bill C-31 registrants (8%).

- One-half of registrants living off reserve also identify one or more aspects of their lives that would make it difficult for them to move to reserve. Employment is the item most often mentioned (by 37%) together with concerns about their spouses (28%) and children (19%).

- Most (71%) of new registrants/restorations stating a possibility of return/move to reserve say they would like to live on the reserve of the band to which they are registered.

- Forty-five percent of those who are disposed to return anticipate some difficulty in obtaining housing on reserve.

- In terms of specific action by those expressing any disposition to move, 34% report that they have spoken to friends/relatives on reserve, 19% say they have contacted band offices, 11% have applied to the band and 7% say they are on a waiting list for housing.

- A majority of new registrants/restorations (60%) are aware of non-insured health benefits (NIHB) and 56% report obtaining prescriptions at no charge since their entitlement.

- Younger registrants under 25 years of age are most likely to be aware of post-secondary benefits. One-fifth (21%) of all registrants have been enroled full- or part-time in post-secondary programs since registration.

- The proportion of new registrants/restorations enroled in post-secondary programs who report receiving financial assistance as status Indians has increased from 24% of those enroled in 1985/86 to 55% of those enroled in 1989/90.

INTRODUCTION

1. Background

In June 1985, Parliament enacted a series of amendments to the *Indian Act*, known as Bill C-31. The main objectives of this bill were to remove discrimination on the basis of gender from the Act, to restore Indian status and band membership rights to eligible persons (particularly women who lost their status through marriage to non-Indians) and to enable bands to assume control over their membership.

Bill C-31 required that a follow-up progress report on the implementation of the 1985 amendments be submitted to Parliament in 1987. The Minister of Indian Affairs and Northern Development submitted the report in June 1987. The report noted that only a small number of individuals had returned to reserves and that it appeared to be too early to measure adequately the impacts of Bill C-31. Many aboriginal leaders expressed the concern that a large number of persons eligible for status under the Bill C-31 amendments were expected to return to reserves and that this would create significant pressures on available resources. Acknowledging the need for further assessment of the impacts of Bill C-31, the minister at the time promised that a detailed study would be undertaken and a new report presented to Parliament in 1990.

Terms of reference were established during the summer of 1989 for a study of the impacts of Bill C-31. This study is to serve as a basis for preparing the 1990 Ministerial Report to Parliament on the implementation of Bill C-31. The 1990 report will document and assess the effects of the 1985 amendments on aboriginal communities and individuals. The scope of the study includes all affected sectors of the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development (DIAND) and other relevant federal programs.

In order that the study would reflect aboriginal concerns, a Joint Consultation Committee was formed with representatives from key national aboriginal institutions, including the Chiefs' Committee on Citizenship (CCC), which is affiliated with the Assembly of First Nations (AFN), and has representation from

the Federation of Saskatchewan Indian Nations, the Native Women's Association of Canada (NWAC), and the Native Council of Canada (NCC). This consultation committee was involved in the design and planning of the study from its inception through to implementation of the modules, data collection, analysis and reporting.

This study has been divided into four research modules:

Module 1: Aboriginal Hearings, to document personal accounts and grassroots information through hearings held at 19 centres across Canada;

Module 2: Survey of Registrants, that is, a survey of some 2,000 individuals registered as status Indians under Bill C-31;

Module 3: Band and Community Studies, including on-site case studies of 45 on-reserve and 10 off reserve communities and a telephone survey of 92 band and 11 tribal council officials; and

Module 4: Information About Government Programs and Statistics, to present information about the impacts of Bill C-31 on federal government programs that affect status Indians and bands.

This report presents the results of Module 2.

2. Objective of the Survey

The objective of Module 2 was defined as the collection of "information on the perceptions and experiences of a scientific probability sample of Bill C-31 registrants."

The Statement of Work prepared by DIAND for the survey specified that:

> *"The survey will determine the extent of impacts from the perspective of registrants, including the extent to which their circumstances have changed as a result of Bill C-31. In addition ... how they have used and how they plan to use government programs, in terms of levels and types of services..."*

The topic areas identified by the working Sub-Committee of the Consultation Committee for inclusion in the survey were as follows:

Attitudes/Behaviour Related to Change in Status

- Status History.
- Reasons for Applying for Status.
- Changes Attributed to Change in Status.
- Awareness of and Participation in Development of Band Codes.

Residency/Movement to Reserve

- Current Residence - On/Off Reserve.
- History of Living On Reserve.
- Intention to Return to/Move to a Reserve.
- Perceived Barriers to Movement to/Living on a Reserve.
- Actions Taken Related to Moving to Reserve/Obtaining Housing on Reserve.

Awareness/Use of Programs

- Non-Insured Health Benefits (NIHB).
- Post-Secondary Student Support Program.

3. The Sample

The universe for the survey was defined as all Bill C-31 registrants, who were registered under Bill C-31 on or before September 30, 1989 and who were born on or before September 30, 1971.

This population includes persons who received entitlements as:

- Restorations: ➤ defined as persons who had been registered and who had lost their status under the discriminatory provisions of the *Indian Act* prior to Bill C-31.

- New Registrations: ➤ defined as persons who became eligible for status for the first time under Bill C-31. These new registrations cover children and, when eligible, grandchildren of the people who are entitled to restoration under Bill C-31.

Registrations under the amended *Indian Act* fall into three categories. The first category, referred to as restorations, includes people who had lost status under discriminatory provisions of the former act. The second category, known as "first-time" or "new" registrations, is comprised of people who are descendants of people whose status has been restored. Together, these two categories represent the registrations that are due to the changes introduced by the 1985 amendments and as such are designated here as "Bill C-31 registrants." These persons are the focus of the present survey. The third category consists of individuals registered since 1985, who would have been entitled to registration under the former act. This latter group was not intended to be covered in this survey.

4. Exclusions

The types and numbers of Bill C-31 registrants excluded from the survey are as follows:

	Estimated Number
Registrants who were under 18 years of age as of September 30, 1989.	14,700
Persons whose previous registration was confirmed following their application under Bill C-31. This category includes persons who were entitled to status and were registered under the provisions of the *Indian Act* prior to Bill C-31 and whose names were never removed from the Indian Register.	4,700
Minors and legally incompetent adults for whom applications were made by other people.	2,400

5. Database

The database for the survey was created by DIAND from the computerized applicant files maintained by the department. As a result of the above definitions and exclusions, this file consisted of **49,419** records for registrants who were 18 years of age and over as of September 30, 1989.

6. Sample Design

The sample design was provided by DIAND and specified a multi-staged probability sample of registrants with telephone listings on their applicant records.

The specifications required stratification of the sample by region of band of affiliation (the band to which the registrant had been registered). A total of 434 records identified the affiliation of the registrants with bands that were extinct. Accordingly, the universe was reduced to **48,985** registrants. The sub-strata within regions were defined in terms of age of registrant -- those 25 years of age

and over and those under 25 years of age. Completion targets were specified for each substratum as follows:

REGION	AGE GROUP	TARGET NUMBER OF COMPLETIONS
North (Yukon and NWT)	25 and over	205
	Under 25	45
Atlantic	25 and over	197
	Under 25	53
Quebec	25 and over	200
	Under 25	50
Ontario	25 and over	199
	Under 25	51
Manitoba	25 and over	207
	Under 25	43
Saskatchewan	25 and over	199
	Under 25	51
Alberta	25 and over	199
	Under 25	51
British Columbia	25 and over	195
	Under 25	55

7. Sample Selection

A multi-staged sample selection process was used that involved:

- selection of all records (85% of the universe of 48,985), which included a telephone number;
- stratification of the 41,485 records with telephone numbers by region of band of affiliation;
- random selection of 1,000 telephone listings in each of the eight regions; and
- selection of one individual at random from those sharing a telephone number.

8. Data Collection

An advance letter was sent to the 8,000 selected sample advising them of the purpose of the survey and requesting co-operation (see Appendix 3). The survey was designed to be conducted by computer-assisted telephone interviewing. The sample of 8,000 listings was prepared for allocation to Canadian Facts' Central Location Telephone Interviewing facilities in ten centres across Canada. Interviewing was conducted under continuous supervision between May 8, and May 29, 1990 using the CF FACTS NETWORK. Up to five attempts were made to contact and interview each designated respondent.

In the event that an individual was no longer living at the telephone number but was known at that number, an attempt was made to obtain a new telephone number. If a number was obtained, this was entered in the system and used for subsequent attempts to reach that person.

The targets for the total sample of 2,000 completions was achieved and 2,032 interviews were completed. The disposition of the sample is shown below. Based on the total number of registrants potentially available for interviewing (2,843) the completion rate for the survey was 72%.

Appendix 1 provides a guide to the interpretation of statistics included in this report. For population estimates based on the total national sample, the confidence interval is $\pm 2.2\%$. This means that for practical purposes a statistic of 65% may be interpreted as having a range of 63% to 67%. Similarly, the confidence intervals for statistics at the regional level are $\pm 5.9\%$.

DISPOSITION OF SAMPLE

Disposition of Sample	Total (8,000) #
No Contact	
No date of entitlement (not allocated)	286
Incomplete telephone listing (not allocated)	244
Number not in service	1,574
No answer/busy	238
Not dialed (target completed)	996
Total No Contacts	3,338
Used for pretest sample	100
Total Contacts	4,562

Results of Telephone Contact	Total (4,562) #
Person not known at number	1,382
Moved, no reference	191
Business number	146
Total Available for Interviewing	2,843
Initial/respondent refusal	134
All other non-response including respondent not available during survey, physical/other handicap	677
Completed Interviews	2,032

9. Summary of Analysis of Non-Response

A detailed analysis of non-response was conducted for the following reasons:

- more than half of the telephone listings in the applicant file date back two years or more prior to the survey;
- the anticipated mobility of the population over this time period; and
- the necessary exclusion of 15% of the universe due to the absence of telephone numbers on DIAND records.

The purpose of analyzing non-response is to determine whether individuals who responded to the survey differ from those who, for various reasons, did not.

The analysis of non-response is necessarily limited to information available for **both** respondents and non-respondents. This information is derived from DIAND files on Bill C-31 registrants over 18 years of age as of September 30, 1989, on minors associated with these registrants, and on bands with which registrants are affiliated. The analysis covers all reasons for not responding, some of which were imposed by the sample design and others by the nature of the population being surveyed. This analysis should **not** be considered an attempt to explain lack of cooperation on the part of registrants. The rate of refusal to be interviewed, among those actually contacted, was very small.

The main purpose of the analysis of non-response was to compensate for the differential rates of response. While it is not possible to adjust for all factors that may influence representation, optimal corrections were made through weighting.

The weights compensate for differences in **known characteristics** between respondents and non-respondents.

The weighting method applied has improved the usefulness of the survey data. The weighting takes into account all of the significant adjustments for all of the variables included on both the DIAND administrative database and the questionnaire and significantly reduces the majority of biases arising from differences between respondents and non-respondents on these variables.

10. The Questionnaire

The draft questionnaire was prepared by the consultation sub-committee, comprised of representatives of the AFN, NWAC, NCC and DIAND. Canadian Facts formatted the questionnaire, pretested it in both official languages, and made minor revisions based on the results of the pretest. The pretest was attended by two representatives of the sub-committee, who were consulted on the revisions.

Copies of the questionnaire in its English and French versions are appended to this report.

11. Presentation of Survey Results

All tables presented in this report are based on weighted estimates.

A. ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIOUR RELATED TO CHANGE IN STATUS

1. Overview

A primary objective of the survey was to determine "from the perspective of registrants. . . the extent to which their circumstances have changed as a result of Bill C-31." In order to provide a context for examination of the impacts on registrants of their change in status, respondents to the survey were asked about their:

- status history;
- reasons for applying for registration/restoration; and
- perceptions of change(s) in their lives as a result of gaining/regaining status.

2. Status History

a) Type of Entitlement

The adult population (those 18 years of age and over) who were registered following application between June, 1985 and September 30, 1989 consisted of both new registrations (persons who had not been registered prior to Bill C-31) and restorations (persons who had lost their status prior to Bill C-31).

As shown in Table 1, 67% of the adult population are new registrations and 33% are restorations. The total population includes almost equal proportions of females and males registering for the first time (35% and 32% respectively). Female restorations account for another 29% of the total and the remaining 4% are male restorations.

Table 1
Type of Entitlement

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %
New Registrations	67
Female	35
Male	32
Restorations [1]	33
Female	29
Male	4

b) Year Mother's Name Removed from the Indian Register

New registrants were entitled to status through qualifications related to the status of their parent(s) and/or grandparent(s). All restorations were persons who had status and had lost it prior to Bill C-31.

All new registrants were asked if their mother's name had been removed from the Indian Register. For both younger (those under 25 years of age) and older (those 25 years of age and over) groups the response pattern was similar, with 62% and 66% respectively, indicating that their mother had had status, 20% and 22% indicating that their mother's name had not been removed, and 14% and 16% stating that they did not know.

---

1 *Due to the exclusion from the survey of registrants under 18 years of age (as of September 30, 1989). Table 1 overestimates restorations among all C-31 registrants. Based on DIAND files, the proportion of total registrants who are restorations is 27% (as of September 30, 1989).*

Table 2
Incidence of Removal of Mother's Name from Register

Population Estimate	Total (32,820) %	Under 25 (10,397) %	25 and Over (22,422) %
Yes	63	66	62
No	21	20	22
Do not know	15	14	16

About one-quarter (23%) of those who reported that their mother had lost status were unable to state the year when their mothers' names were removed from the Register.

Table 3 shows the distributions for both the total sample and the younger and older age groups. The majority (57%) of those under 25 reported the year of their mother's loss of status as between 1960 and 1985. Two-thirds (67%) of the group 25 years of age and over reported a year prior to 1960.

Table 3
Year Mother's Name Removed from Register

Population Estimate	Total (20,820) %	Under 25 (6,825) %	25 and Over (13,996) %
Before 1950	28	9	38
1950 - 1959	24	13	29
1960 - 1969	21	47	9
1970 - 1985	4	10	-
Do not know	23	21	24

c) Year Restoration's Name Removed from the Indian Register

Restorations were asked in what year, approximately, their own names had been removed from the Register. As shown in Table 4, among this group 19% were uncertain, 24% reported losing status within the past 20 years (from 1970 - 1985), 23% between 20 and 30 years ago (1960 - 1969), 21% between 30 and 40 years ago (1950 - 1959), and 13% more than 40 years ago, that is, before 1950.

Table 4
Year Restoration's Name Removed from Register

Population Estimate	Total (16,165) %
Before 1950	13
1950 - 1959	21
1960 - 1969	23
1970 - 1985	24
Do not know	19

d) Length of Time Since Status Gained/Regained

Bill C-31 provided an opportunity for individuals who had lost their status to regain it (restorations) and for those entitled, but who had never had status, to gain status. The information in Table 3 (Year Mother's Name Removed from Register) and Table 4 (Year Restoration's Name Removed) suggests that people who had lost their status long ago were as interested in regaining status as those who had lost it more recently.

New registrants who were 25 years of age and over applied for status on their own behalf. The majority (67%, as seen in Table 3) reported that their mothers had lost their status thirty or more years ago. Almost equivalent proportions of restorations reported loss of status over three time periods ranging from 1950 - 1959, 1960-1969 and 1970-1985.

3. Reasons for Applying for Registration/Restoration

In order to obtain information on the nature of registrants' reasons for applying for status, all respondents were asked the following question:

> *"First, could you think back to the time when you first applied to be registered as a status Indian/have your Indian status restored? Why was it important for you to apply?"*

All mentions were recorded under categories in the draft questionnaire provided by the sub-committee. The list of items was subsequently expanded based on responses received in the interview. Table 5 summarizes the responses to this question.

a) Reasons by Band of Affiliation

The reasons most often cited by registrants for applying for status related to identity: 41% mentioned their own personal identity and 21% made some reference to culture / tradition or a sense of belonging. A total of 17% of registrants gave the correction of injustice as an important reason and another 7% referred to their aboriginal rights.

Benefits also received some attention with 14% of all registrants mentioning education grants and 12% Non-Insured Health Benefits (NIHB). Only five percent voluntarily mentioned return to the reserve as an important reason for

Table 5
Reasons Cited for Applying for Registration/Restoration

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	North (2,268) %	Atlantic (1802) %	Quebec (5,338) %	Ontario (15,269) %	Man. (5,814) %	Sask. (5,001) %	Alberta (4,673) %	B.C. (8,820) %
Mentions Related to:									
Culture/Identity									
Personal identity	41	42	46	43	53	27	25	19	46
Culture/belonging	21	15	20	31	22	15	12	15	31
Rights									
Correct Injustice	17	16	18	14	13	14	24	26	21
Aboriginal Rights	7	13	2	7	4	9	8	13	7
Benefits/Grants									
Education	14	7	10	11	10	15	22	22	16
NIHB/Health	12	11	7	8	5	16	20	28	12
Sales Tax Benefits	6	2	5	7	7	7	11	1	1
Reserve									
Return to reserve	5	2	3	5	6	4	6	6	7
Access to:									
Reserve housing	3	**	1	4	2	3	5	5	5
Other reserve benefits	4	1	2	5	2	6	3	3	5
Family									
Family applied/asked me to	9	14	7	7	8	3	14	11	10
Did it for my children	5	6	1	2	6	1	6	5	8

* Adds to more than 100% due to multiple mentions.
** Less than 1 percent.

applying for status and fewer (3% and 4%) listed access to reserve housing or other reserve benefits.

The final category of mentions were those related to registrants' families, with 9% reporting either that their family had applied for them or asked them to apply and 5% stating that they applied for the benefit of their children.

There are some indications of differences in the relative importance of these reasons by registrants' band of affiliation. Mentions relating to personal identity were highest among those affiliated with bands in Ontario (53%), the Atlantic (46%) and B.C. (46%), while culture and a sense of belonging were mentioned more frequently among those affiliated with bands in Quebec (31%) and B.C. (31%).

Registrants affiliated with bands in Saskatchewan and Alberta were more likely than those registered to bands in other regions to mention correcting injustice and NIHB benefits as well as education grants, while sales tax benefits were often mentioned by those affiliated with Saskatchewan bands. Finally, family reasons were mentioned most often by those affiliated with bands in the North and Saskatchewan.

The distribution of reasons for application does not differ by time elapsed since entitlement.

b) Other Reasons Cited for Applying for Registration /Restoration

Table 6 displays the other categories of answers volunteered by registrants as important reasons for applying for/to regain status. While each of these were mentioned by 2% or less of the total population, they provide an indication of both the range and types of reasons for application.

Table 6

Other Reasons Cited for Applying for Registration /Restoration

Population Estimate	Total (48,895) %
Because of benefits (unspecified)	2
Pride in heritage	2
Mentions each less than 1%	
Right to stay in Canada	
Can cross the border freely	
Identification card	
Economic improvements	
Right to vote	
Equality	
Job/employment	

c) **By Age Group**

As shown in Table 7 following, older respondents (those 25 years of age and over) were more likely to refer to factors such as personal identity (45%), culture (24%) and the need to correct injustice (20%) as reasons for applying for status while younger registrants (under 25 years) expressed more interest in educational benefits (23%) and were more apt to report that their families applied or asked them to apply for status (19%).

Table 7
Reasons Cited for Applying for Registration/Restoration

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	Under 25 (10,824) %	Over 25 (38,161) %
Mentions Related to:			
Culture/Identity			
Personal identity	41	26	45
Culture/belonging	21	14	24
Rights			
Correct Injustice	17	9	20
Aboriginal Rights	7	6	7
Benefits			
Education	14	23	12
NIHB	12	10	12
Sales Tax Benefits	6	6	6
Reserve			
Return to reserve	5	2	6
Access to:			
Reserve housing	3	2	4
Other reserve benefits	4	3	4
Family			
Family applied/asked me to	9	19	6
Did it for my children	5	*	6

* Less than 1 percent

4. Changes Attributed to Gaining/Regaining Status

In order to obtain a measure of the overall impact of gaining/regaining status, all respondents were asked the following question:

> *"Thinking about your life now in comparison with what your life was like before you were registered/had your status restored/what is the biggest change that has happened as a result of your gaining/regaining status?"*

a) By Age Group

As shown in Table 8, just over one-third of registrants (35%) said that there had been no change in their lives associated with registration while 59% mentioned one or more changes. The pattern was similar to that noted above for reasons for applying for registration/restoration. Changes related to personal identity were most often mentioned at 20% with those related to cultural affiliation cited at 12%. Mentions of access to benefits were of almost equal importance in terms of frequency of mentions, with 15% mentioning health benefits, 10% education and 7% sales tax benefits.

It should be noted that the pattern of responses did not vary by time elapsed since entitlement. However, again there were some differences by age group, which tend to parallel those reported with respect to reasons for application. Older registrants were more likely to comment on the relationship between status and personal identity (20%), while mentions of educational benefits were higher among younger registrants (18%).

Table 8
Changes Attributed to Gaining/Regaining Status

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	Under 25 (10,824) %	25 and Over (38,161) %
Status			
Stated no change	35*	36	35
Mentioned any change	59	60	59
Culture/Identity			
Personal identity	20	12	20
Culture/belonging	12	9	12
Benefits			
NIHB	15	16	14
Education	10	18	8
Sales Tax Benefits	7	7	7
Rights			
Correct Injustice	5	3	5
Aboriginal Rights	5	4	5
Reserve			
Return to reserve	2	2	2
Access to:			
Reserve housing	2	1	3
Other reserve benefits	2	1	2

* Adds to less than 100% due to non-response.

5. Awareness/Knowledge of Membership Codes

The final set of questions related to status dealt with registrants' awareness and knowledge of membership codes. First, all registrants were read the following statement:

> *"Membership codes are written rules that describe who can and cannot be a band member."*

Then they were asked:

> *"Are you aware that a band can now create its own membership code?"*

Those who either said that they were not aware or did not know, were then asked the following question:

> *"Changes made to the* Indian Act *in 1985 allow a band to create and pass its own rules describing who can and who cannot be a band member. Have you ever heard about bands being able to create their own rules saying who can and cannot be a member?"*

a) Awareness (Unaided and Aided)

As shown in Table 9, 34% of registrants said that they were aware that bands can create their own codes. When those not aware were asked the aided measure, another 15% said that they had heard about bands being able to create their own membership rules, for a total or combined level of awareness of 49%.

Table 9
Awareness of Membership Codes

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %
Aware that band can create membership codes	
Yes	34
No	62
Don't Know	4
Heard about bands' ability to create codes	
Yes	15
No	49
Don't Know	2
Total aware/heard about	49

b) Claimed Knowledge of Membership Codes

Those registrants who said that they were aware/had heard of membership codes were asked whether they knew if the band to which they were registered had its own membership code and if they had contacted their bands or anyone else to find out about any membership code.

As shown in Table 10, 43% thought their bands had a code, 41% said they did not know and 16% said that their band had no code. Twenty-three percent of those who said that they were aware/had heard of membership codes had contacted their bands or others to find out about their membership code.

Table 10

Claimed Knowledge of Membership Code Among Total Aware of Membership Code

Population Estimate	Total (23,803) %
Reported that band has its own code	
Yes	43
No	16
Don't Know	41
Contacted band/others to find out about code	
Yes	23
No	77

c) Claimed Knowledge of Membership Codes Compared with Administrative Records

In fact, 39% of all bands in Canada had an approved membership code as of June 1990. A comparison of registrant responses to data from their administrative records shows that 52% of those whose bands have codes are correctly aware of the fact. Among those who are registered to bands that do not yet have membership codes, 19% are correct in their assumption, 44% do not know and 37% apparently think that their bands have membership codes (see Table 11).

Table 11
Claimed Knowledge of Membership Code Compared with Administrative Records

Population Estimate	Total (23,803) %	Band Code Yes (10,176) %	Band Code No (13,549) %
Reported that band has its own code			
Yes	43	52	37
No	16	12	19
Don't Know	41	36	44

d) Reported Participation in/Qualification for Band Membership

All registrants who said that the band to which they were registered had a membership code were asked two additional questions:

> *"Were you involved in the adoption of this membership code, for example by voting on it, or participating in a meeting at which it was adopted?*
>
> *"As far as you know, are you able to be a band member under the membership code your band has adopted?"*

Among those who stated that their band had a code, 15% said that they were involved in its adoption. Seventy-six percent of those reporting a code said that they qualified for membership in their band of affiliation.

Again, in Table 12, when responses are examined by existence of membership codes, it is clear that there is a considerable lack of knowledge about membership codes among registrants.

Table 12

Reported Participation in/Qualification for Band Membership Among Those Reporting Membership Code

Population Estimate	Total (10,296) %	Band Code Yes (5,285) %	Band Code No (4,991) %
Involved in adoption of/attended meeting when band adopted code			
Yes	15	15	15
No	85	85	85
Qualify for Membership			
Yes	76	74	78
No	7	9	4
Don't Know	17	17	18

B. CURRENT RESIDENCE/DISPOSITION TO RETURN TO RESERVE

1. Overview

The registrant survey provides estimates of the proportions of registrants/restorations who may return to/move to a reserve and the likely timeframes for such moves.

The issue is important because residence on reserve determines eligibility for a range of programs and services that are available only to status Indians who reside on reserve. Impacts on these DIAND funded programs, and on the reserve communities themselves, will occur largely as a result of Bill C-31 registrants residing on reserve or taking up residence there.

For all other respondents, except the Bill C-31 registrants who currently reside on reserve, the study problem here centres on anticipating what respondents will do in the future. The difficulties inherent in this, and consequent limitations, require some comment. The questionnaire approached this by asking about:

- intentions;
- perceived obstacles related to these intentions; and
- actions taken to date that might indicate efforts to execute intentions.

The survey provides behavioural data (resided on reserve prior to registration; have moved to reserve since; have made inquiries about housing; or are on waiting list for housing) and "dispositional" reportings (would like to move; perceived difficulties associated with residing on reserve; and perceptions of difficulties in own life associated with attempt to move).

Housing is an important and related issue. Many communities have housing shortages, and a person's ability to reside on reserve is predicated by having a place to live. Thus, questions on perceptions of ease/difficulty in obtaining housing, and actions taken in relation to housing, form part of the question set.

This section of the report summarizes the results of a series of questions addressed to respondents with respect to:

- location of their current residence (on/off reserve);
- distance of current residence from reserve;
- previous residence on a reserve;
- disposition to return to reserve;
- anticipated difficulties in moving to/living on reserve; and
- expectations/actions taken relative to obtain housing on reserve.

2. Current Residence

Most new registrants/restorations (92%) do not currently live on a reserve; 8% do. As with other findings from the survey, there are variations by region of band of affiliation. The proportions residing on a reserve are highest for those with bands of affiliation in Quebec (13%), Manitoba (11%) and B.C. (10%), and lowest for those registered to bands in Ontario (5%) and Alberta (2%). (See Table 13).

Table 13
Current Residence by Region of Band of Affiliation

Weighted Base	Total (48,985) %	North (2,268) %	Atla. (1802) %	Que. (5,338) %	Ont. (15,269) %	Man. (5,814) %	Sask. (5,001) %	Alta. (4,673) %	B.C. (8,820) %
Current Residence									
On Reserve	8	9	8	13	5	11	7	2	10
Off Reserve	92	91	92	87	95	89	93	98	90

3. Residence On/Off Reserve Relative to Elapsed Time Since Registration

As shown in Table 14, registrants currently living on reserve were more likely to have applied for and received status within the first two years following enactment of Bill C-31. Similarly, the proportions within this subgroup receiving status have declined for each period since December 1986.

By contrast, among the much larger group of persons living off reserve the proportions receiving entitlement were lowest in 1985/86, increased through 1987, and appear to have declined slightly since November 1988.

Table 14
Elapsed Time Since Entitlement by Current Residence

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	Off Reserve (45,120) %	On Reserve (3,865) %
Entitlement			
Prior to December, 1986	20	18	39
December 1986 - November 1987	30	30	27
December 1987 - November 1988	27	28	22
December 1988 - September 1989	23	24	12

4. Residence History of Registrants Currently Living on Reserve

Registrants currently living on reserve were asked a detailed series of questions in order to obtain a complete history of their residency prior to Bill C-31 and following their registration/restoration of status.

"Is this the reserve or Crown land where you are registered?"

"Have you ever lived off the reserve or Crown land where you now live?"

Those who reported living elsewhere were asked:

> *"In what year did you last live elsewhere?"*

Those who had lived elsewhere, that is, off the reserve in 1985 or later, were asked:

> *"Did you move to the reserve, that is a reserve or Crown land administered by a band, where you now live before or after you were registered/had your status restored?"*

Table 15 summarizes the residency history of this relatively small sub-group of registrants. The vast majority (87%) of registrants currently living on reserve reside on the reserve of their band of affiliation and 26% of them never lived elsewhere. Of those who had lived elsewhere, that is, off reserve, 50% did so most recently before 1985 when Bill C-31 was adopted. Of those who moved to reserves since 1985, 40% did so before they were registered or had their status restored. Together, the above information shows that 79% of the registrants currently living on reserve resided there prior to their registration.

Table 15

Summary of Residence History Among Registrants Living on Reserve

Population Estimate	Total (3,865) %
Living on reserve/Crown land of band of affiliation	
Yes	87
No	13
Incidence of ever living elsewhere	
Yes	74
No	26
Most recent residency off reserve	(2,873)
1984 and earlier	50
1985 and later	50
Moved to Reserve	(1,507)
Before registered/restored	40
After registered/restored	56
Cannot recall	4

5. Residence History of Registrants Currently Living off Reserve

Parallel questions about residence on reserve were asked of all registrants/restorations currently living off reserve (an estimated 92% of the total population of Bill C-31 registrants).

They were first asked:

> *"Have you ever lived on ANY reserve or Crown land administered by a band?"*

Those who reported any residence on reserve were then asked:

> *"Have you ever lived on the reserve or Crown land where you are registered?"*

All of those reporting any history of living on reserve were asked about residence before and after they reached 18 years of age.

> *"When you were growing up, before you reached age 18, did you live on a reserve or Crown land at any time?"*
>
> *"In total, for how many of the years before you were 18 did you live on a reserve or Crown land administered by a band? Please count any year during which you lived on a reserve or Crown land for six months or more."*
>
> *"In the years since you became 18 have you lived on a reserve or Crown land at any time?"*
>
> *"In total, for how many of the years since you were 18 have you lived on a reserve or Crown land administered by a band? Please count any year during which you lived on a reserve or Crown land for six months or more."*

As shown in Table 16, 62% of all new registrants/restorations living off reserve report that they have never lived on any reserve/ Crown land. Of the 38% who report any history of living on reserve, most (79%) have lived on the reserve of the band to which they are registered. The majority (88%) did so when they were under 18 years of age. Thirty-eight percent (14% of the total off-reserve population) report any period of residency on reserve as adults.

Table 16

Summary of Residence History Among Registrants Living off Reserve

Population Estimate	Total (45,120) %
Ever lived on any reserve	
Yes	38
No	62
Lived on reserve of band of affiliation	**(16,829)**
Yes	79
No	21
Lived on reserve when under 18	
Yes	88
No	12
Lived on reserve when 18 and over	
Yes	38
No	62

6. Movement to Reserves

Registrants not living on a reserve were asked several questions about living on/returning to a reserve. The first was without reference to any time period.

> *"Ideally, would you like to live on a reserve, that is a reserve or Crown land administered by a band?"*

The second question asked about the possibility of return in the future:

> *"Is there a possibility that you might return to a reserve or Crown land at some time in the future?"*

Those answering "Yes" and those who were uncertain were asked:

> *"When might that happen?"*

This form of questioning was used in order to give the widest possible indication of any disposition to return to/move to a reserve.

a) Ideally Would Like to Live on a Reserve

Responses to the question on the ideal situation were classified to allow for conditional responses (Yes or No with qualifications).

The distribution of responses was as follows:

Table 17
Ideally Would Like to Live on a Reserve

Population Estimate	Total Living Off Reserve (45,120) %
Yes	32
Conditional Yes	18
No	36
Conditional No	4
Don't Know	9

b) Possibility of Return to Reserve/Timeframe

i) By Past Residence on/off Reserve

All registrants living off reserve were asked if there was a possibility that they might return to a reserve/Crown land at some time in the future.

As shown in Table 18, just over half (52%) replied in the affirmative and another 15% were uncertain. All of those replying either Yes/Don't Know were then asked when that might happen. The proportion of all registrants living off reserve who stated a timeframe of 5 years or less was 18%, another 11% mentioned 6 to 10 years and 11% said 11 years or more, while 27% were unable to specify a timeframe.

Previous residence on reserve is clearly a factor in predisposing registrants/restorations to state a possibility of return. The majority (60%) of those who had any experience living on a reserve said it was possible they would return, versus only 47% of those with no history of residency on a reserve. Similarly, 23% of those who lived on a reserve indicated a timeframe of 5 years or less, versus only 14% of those with no residency. In total, 18% of the population living off reserve say that they might move to a reserve in 5 years or less.

Table 18

Possibility of Return/Number of Years in Future Among Those Currently Living off Reserve

	Total %	Past Residence/ None %	On Reserve Any %
Population Estimate	**(45,120)**	**(28,113)**	**(16,829)**
Possibility of Return			
Yes	52	47	60
No	33	39	25
Don't Know/Not Sure	15	14	15
Total Yes and Not Sure	67	61	75
Number of Years In Future			
5 or less	18	14	23
6 to 10	11	11	11
11 to 15	5	5	4
Over 15	6	7	5
Don't Know	27	24	32

ii) By Sex and Age Group

As shown in Table 19, male registrants who are not currently living on reserves are more likely than their female counterparts to say it is possible that they will return in the future (59% versus 48%). They also tend to project a shorter timeframe with 22% stating that they might return in 5 years or less versus 15% of females. There is relatively little variation by age group (under 25/over 25 years) on those measures (see Table 19).

Table 19

Possibility of Return/Number of Years in Future Among Those Currently Living off Reserve By Sex and Age Group

Population Estimate	Total (45,120) %	Females (29,140) %	Males (15,980) %	Under 25 (9,762) %	25 & over (35,358) %
Possibility of Return					
Yes	52	48	59	54	51
No	33	35	30	35	33
Don't Know	15	17	11	11	16
Don't Know + Yes	67	65	70	65	67
Number of Years In Future					
5 or less	18	15	22	18	17
6 to 10	11	11	12	12	11
11 to 15	5	5	4	8	4
Over 15	6	6	7	6	6
Don't Know	27	28	25	21	29

7. Summary of Predisposition to Return by Region of Band of Affiliation

Table 20 summarizes responses to three questions on disposition to return to/move to reserve by region of band of affiliation.

The proportions who ideally would like to live on a reserve (defined here to exclude those who gave a negative response, but to include those who gave a qualified

positive response or were uncertain) vary by region from 73% in Ontario, 64% in Quebec, and 62% in B.C. to 53% in Manitoba and 50% in Saskatchewan. Fewer registrants affiliated with bands in the Atlantic, Alberta and the North say that they would like to live on a reserve (46%, 45% and 39%, respectively).

When considered in terms of a possibility in the future, the regional pattern is similar but the proportions decline to 52% overall, with the most marked declines among registrants affiliated with bands in Ontario and Quebec.

The regions in which more registrants say that they are most likely to return/move to five years or less, are B.C. (25% of all those living off reserve), Ontario (20%) and Saskatchewan (16%).

Table 20

Characteristics of Registrants Living off Reserve
Disposition to Live on a Reserve by Region of Band of Affiliation

	Total	North	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Man.	Sask.	Alberta	B.C.
Population Estimate	(45,120)	(2,056)	(1,660)	(4,620)	(14,426)	(5,787)	(4,653)	(4,584)	(7,934)
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Movement to Reserve									
Ideally*	60	39	46	64	73	53	50	45	62
Possibly in future	52	33	47	47	59	50	42	40	61
In 5 years or less.	18	11	14	14	20	11	16	14	25

* Includes all responding Yes, Conditional Yes, and Don't Know/Not Sure.

8. Summary of Current Residence/Disposition to Return/Move to Reserve by Year of Entitlement

Registrants who were entitled to status within the first year and a half after enactment of Bill C-31, that is, prior to December 1986, are more likely to currently live on reserve than those who received status in the last three-and-a-half years. As shown in Table 21, 16% of the group entitled to status prior to December 1986 currently live on reserve, versus 7% of the group who received status in the following year (December 1986 - November 1987), 6% of those entitled to status in the next year, and 4% of the most recent registrants.

It should be noted that there are no differences by period of entitlement in measures of disposition to move to reserves or in perceived difficulties associated with moving to reserves among registrants who are not currently living on reserve.

Table 21
Summary of Current Residence and Disposition to Return/Move to Reserve by Year of Entitlement

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	Prior to Dec. 86 (9,724) %	Dec. 86 - Nov. 87 (14,660) %	Dec. 87 - Nov. 88 (13,218) %	Dec. 88 - Sept. 89 (11,383) %
Current Residence					
On Reserve	8	16	7	6	4
Off Reserve	92	84	93	94	96
Total Currently Living Off Reserve	(45,120) %	(8,205) %	(13,631) %	(12,380) %	(10,904) %
Ideally would like to live on a reserve*	51	52	51	49	52
Possibility of return	52	51	52	53	52
In 5 years or less	18	18	17	14	22
Anticipate difficulty in living on reserve	52	54	51	52	50
Anticipate difficulty in moving to reserve	48	43	49	46	52

* Includes only those responding Yes/Conditional Yes.

9. Summary of Current Residence/Disposition to Return/Move to Reserve Among Registrants Who Have Previously Lived on Reserve

The first part of Table 22 shows that 15% of registrants with any history of living on a reserve currently live on reserve. It also shows that those who received entitlement within the first year and a half after Bill C-31 are more apt to live on the reserve now.

The second part of Table 22 shows that, when compared with the total off-reserve population (see Table 21), those currently living off reserve who have previously lived on a reserve also:

- report more interest in living on a reserve (59% versus 51% of the total off-reserve population);
- are more likely to state a possibility of return (60% versus 52% of all those living off reserves);
- are slightly more inclined to specify a possible timeframe for return of 5 years or less (23% versus 18% of the total off-reserve population); and
- report the same levels of perceived difficulty in living on/moving to a reserve.

Table 22

Summary of Current Residence and Disposition to Return/Move to a Reserve by Year of Entitlement Among Total Registrants Who Have Ever Lived on a Reserve

Population Estimate	Total (19,702) %	Prior to Dec. 86 (5,872) %	Dec. 86 - Nov. 87 (6,312) %	Dec. 87 - Nov. 88 (4,503) %	Dec. 88 - Sept. 89 (3,065) %
Current Residence					
On Reserve	15	22	12	12	11
Off Reserve	85	78	88	88	89
Total Currently Living Off Reserve **	(16,829) %	(4,353) %	(5,873) %	(3,974) %	(2,720) %
Ideally would like to live on a reserve*	59	56	59	56	68
Possibility of return	60	50	60	69	69
In 5 years or less	23	19	20	23	36
Anticipate difficulty in living on reserve	53	52	53	50	60
Anticipate difficulty in moving to reserve	50	39	54	53	52

* Includes both yes and Conditional Yes.

** Among Total Registrants Who Have Ever Lived On A Reserve.

10. Summary of Characteristics of Registrants Indicating a Possibility of Return in 5 Years or Less

Table 23 shows the characteristics of the sub-groups of registrants most likely to return to/move to a reserve. The concentration of the total population of new registrations/restorations in Ontario and B.C. is evident.

The persons who are most apt to say they will return are females (56%), and people 25 years of age and over (78%). They are almost equally divided between those living with a partner (52%) and those who are not (48%). Similarly, 54% report households with children and 46% live in adult-only households. Finally, 52% rent their homes and 45% say they are homeowners.

Table 23

Characteristics of Those Indicating a Possibility of Returning to a Reserve In 5 Years or Less

Population Estimate	Total Stating Return in 5 Years or Less (7,952) %
Region of Affiliation	
North Atlantic	3
Quebec	3
Ontario	8
Manitoba	36
Saskatchewan	7
Alberta	9
B.C.	8
	25
Sex	
Females	56
Under 25	8
25 and over	48
Males	43
Under 25	14
25 and over	29
Status of Partner	
Status Indian	13
Non-Status Indian	9
Non-Aboriginal	30
No Partner	48
Household Composition	
Single	10
2 or more adults	36
Partner and children under 18	33
Other adults and children under 18	12
Single parent and children	9
Tenure	
Rent home	52
Own home	45

11. Anticipated Difficulties in Living on Reserves

All registrants/restorations living off reserve were asked:

> *"Is there anything about the reserve or Crown land administered by a band that makes it difficult for you to live there?"*

Those who said "yes" were asked:

> *"What makes it difficult to live there?"*

a) By Region of Band of Affiliation

As shown in Table 24, about one-half (52%) of all registrants currently living off reserve say that there are aspects of the reserve/Crown land administered by a band that make it difficult for them to live there. Those registered to bands in Ontario and Quebec are least likely to identify difficulties (at 42% and 44%, respectively) and those affiliated with bands in Saskatchewan, Alberta and B.C. are most apt to do so. For Saskatchewan, Alberta and B.C., the proportions citing difficulties were 61%, 64% and 68%, respectively.

Table 24

Perceived Difficulties in Living on Reserves

	Total	North	Atla.	Que.	Ont.	Man.	Sask.	Alberta	B.C.
Population Estimate	(45,120)	(2,056)	(1,660)	(4,620)	(14,426)	(5,787)	(4,653)	(4,584)	(7,934)
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Difficulty in living on reserve	52	53	48	44	42	54	61	64	68

b) Types of Difficulty

As shown in Table 25, the difficulties most frequently identified by registrants living off reserve in connection with living on reserve are:

- employment - mentioned by 30% overall;
- isolated location - mentioned by 16% overall;
- lack of available housing - mentioned by 16% with the highest incidence in B.C. (23%);
- problems in personal identity and a sense of not belonging - were mentioned by 10%;
- attitudes to Bill C-31 registrants mentioned by 8% overall with the highest incidence in Alberta (22%); and
- lack of entertainment/activities - 8%.

Table 25

Most Frequently Mentioned Types of Difficulty Associated With Living on Reserves Among Registrants Currently Living off Reserves - by Region of Band of Affiliation

	Total	North	Atla.	Que.	Ont.	Man.	Sask.	Alberta	B.C.
Population Estimate	(45,120)	(2,056)	(1,660)	(4,620)	(14,426)	(5,787)	(4,653)	(4,584)	(7,934)
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Employment	30	18	32	26	31	38	36	32	25
Isolated location	16	24	11	12	18	14	9	12	23
Available housing	16	14	7	17	14	15	14	14	23
Personal identity / not belonging	10	6	9	11	5	12	13	13	12
Attitudes to C-31 registrants	8	3	5	8	2	2	8	22	10
Lack of entertainment / activities	8	5	10	10	5	12	12	6	9

Table 26 shows the proportions of registrants reporting problems related to:

- membership rules;
- family concerns;
- location;
- lack of services; and
- lack of security.

The proportion of registrants currently living off reserve mentioning the problem of access or transportation was the only case to reach more than 5%.

Table 26

Other Types of Difficulty Associated With Living on Reserve Among Registrants Currently Living off Reserve Who Identify any Difficulty

Population Estimate	Total (23,264) %
Related to Membership	
Residency rules	4
Membership codes	3
Application of rules to children	1
Application of rules to spouse	1
Related to Family	
Integration of children	4
Integration of spouse	3
Related to Location	
Access/transportation	6
Too far to travel to work	3
Related to Lack of Services	
Poor living conditions/plumbing/heating	5
No proper schooling	3
Overcrowded	2
Related to Security	
Alcohol/drug abuse	4
Too much violence/vandalism	3
No police protection	1
Other Personal Reasons	
Medical health	2
Would miss family/friends	2
Lack of privacy	1

12. Anticipated Difficulties in Moving to Reserve

All registrants living off reserve were asked about those aspects of their life which might make it difficult to move to a reserve.

> *"Is there anything in your life now that might make it difficult for you to move to a reserve or Crown land if you wanted to?"*

Those who said "yes" were asked to identify the type(s) of difficulty.

> *"What would make it difficult for you to move?"*

a) By Region of Band of Affiliation

About one-half (48%) of all those living off reserve report that there are one or more aspects of their life which could limit their movement to reserves. This is least evident among those registered to bands in Manitoba and most evident for those affiliated with bands in Saskatchewan, as shown in Table 27.

Table 27

Perceived Difficulties in Moving to Reserve by Region of Band of Affiliation

	Total	North	Atla.	Que.	Ont.	Man.	Sask.	Alberta	B.C.
Population Estimate	(45,120)	(2,056)	(1,660)	(4,620)	(14,426)	(5,787)	(4,653)	(4,584)	(7,934)
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Difficulty in Moving To Reserve	48	52	46	49	48	37	57	48	49

b) Type of Difficulty

The most frequently mentioned barrier to movement is employment, reported by 37% of all those identifying any problem that would interfere with their movement to a reserve (see Table 28). Family considerations were also important, with 28% mentioning marriage and spouse, and 19% mentioning children. Housing was reported as a problem by 18% and education by 7%. Other items were each mentioned by less than 5%.

Table 28

Types of Difficulty Associated With Moving to Reserve Among Registrants Currently Living off Reserves Who Identify any Difficulty

Population Estimate	Total (21,713) %
Employment	
Lack of jobs/employment	37
Family	
Marriage, spouse	28
Children	19
Other relatives	4
Housing	18
Education	7
Location	
Isolation of reserve	3
Lack of transportation on reserve	1
Acceptance	
Lack of acceptance on reserve	2
Resentment to Bill C-31 registrants	2
Life Style	
Lifestyle change	4
Cultural reasons	2
Lack of entertainment on reserve	1
Prefer independence	1
Other Personal Reasons	
Medical health	4
Financial	2
Too old	1

13. Preference for Reserve Location

Registrants/restorations indicating some possibility of moving to/returning to a reserve were asked about their preference for location.

> *"Would you like to live on the reserve or Crown lana where you have been registered/had your status restored by the Department of Indian Affairs, or on the reserve or Crown land of some other band?"*

The majority (71%) stated a preference for living on the reserve or Crown land of their band of affiliation, 14% said they would like to live on the reserve of some other band, 8% qualified their answers (it depends), and 7% said they did not know. (See Table 29).

Table 29
Preference by Reserve Location

Population Estimate	Total* (29,977) %
Band to which registered	71
Another band	14
It depends	7
Don't Know	7

* *Total includes those who stated that there was a possibility of their move/return to reserve in the future (Yes/Not Sure).*

14. Anticipated Difficulties in Finding Housing On Reserve

The question of the availability of on-reserve housing for registrants/restorations who may return to/move to a reserve is a central issue in the study. In order to obtain an indication of registrants' perceptions of any potential problems related to

housing, all registrants currently living off reserve who indicated a disposition to return to/move to a reserve were asked:

> *"Supposing you wanted to move on to a reserve or Crown land, would it be easy or difficult for you to find a place to live?"*

For purposes of establishing perceived ease/difficulty of finding housing on reserve, the question was asked of all registrants living off reserve except those who said that (ideally) they would not like to live on a reserve **and** that there was no possibility of returning to a reserve (see Table 30). When asked specifically about the ease of obtaining housing on the reserve to which they are registered, 45% of all registrants who express any disposition to return to the reserve state that they expect it would be moderately/very difficult to find a place to live. Another 35% expect it would be easy, while 20% Don't Know/are unsure.

The levels of anticipated difficulty in obtaining access to housing is highest for registrants affiliated with bands in Manitoba (56%), B.C. (54%) and Alberta (49%).

Table 30

Perceived Ease/Difficulty of Finding Housing on Reserve Among Off-reserve Registrants Indicating any Disposition to Return

	Total	North	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Man.	Sask.	Alberta	B.C.
Population Estimate	(34,411) %	(1,194) %	(1,660) %	(3,662) %	(12,466) %	(3,510) %	(3,154) %	(2,924) %	(6,345) %
Very/Moderately Easy	35	36	39	39	37	25	34	32	33
Moderately/Very Difficult	45	40	42	45	38	56	46	49	54
Don't Know	20	24	19	16	25	19	20	19	13

15. Summary of Expectations/Actions Taken to Find Housing on Reserves

The next sequence of questions asked all registrants living off reserve about their expectations regarding housing and specific actions that they might have taken to obtain information and/or obtain access to housing on reserves.

> *"Some bands build houses or apartments for reserve residents and rent or lease these to band members. If you moved on to a reserve or Crown land, would you live in a house or apartment built by the band?"*

> *"Since you were registered/had your status restored, have you spoken with any friends or relatives living on reserve or Crown land about housing possibilities for you on reserve or Crown land administered by a band?"*

> *"Since you applied to be registered/restored, have you contacted your band office either to ask about housing available from your band or to apply for a house or apartment?"*

> *"Have you applied to your band for a house or apartment?"*

> *"Is your name currently on a waiting list for a band house or apartment?"*

Table 31 summarizes responses to these items. Overall, 73% of registrants currently living off reserve who are disposed to return/move say that they would expect to live in housing provided by the band if they moved to a reserve. The lowest levels are reported by registrants affiliated with bands in Quebec (61%) and B.C. (63%).

Sixty-one percent of the off-reserve population that indicates any disposition to return have not taken action and 39% have done so.

Registrants affiliated with bands in B.C. are most likely to have taken one or more steps to obtain housing -- 57% report doing so.

The action most often taken is talking to friends and relatives living on reserve (34% of all those indicating any disposition to move/return). Contact with the band office is reported by 19%, 11% say that they have applied for housing to the band to which they are registered, and 7% state that they are on a waiting list.

Consistent with the findings on level of activity, the proportions of those interested in moving who are registered to bands in B.C. reporting each activity are:

- 36% for contact with band office;
- 23% for application to band for housing; and
- 15% on a waiting list.

(See Table 31)

Table 31

Summary of Expectations/Actions Related to Housing on Reserves Among Off-reserve Registrants Indicating any Disposition to Return

	Total	North	Atlantic	Quebec	Ontario	Man.	Sask.	Alberta	B.C.
Population Estimate	(34,411) %	(1,194) %	(1,660) %	(3,662) %	(12,466) %	(3,510) %	(3,154) %	(2,924) %	(6,345) %
Expect to live in band housing	73	68	78	61	70	78	76	79	63
Actions Taken to Obtain Housing									
None	61	66	61	58	66	67	66	66	43
Any	39	34	39	42	34	33	34	34	57
Spoken to friends / relatives	34	29	37	37	31	27	27	24	50
Contacted band office	19	24	20	21	11	18	20	17	36
Applied to band	11	14	14	9	7	8	11	4	23
On waiting list	7	10	12	6	4	6	7	1	15

C. AWARENESS/USE OF PROGRAMS/SERVICES

1. Unaided Awareness of Benefits/Services

At the beginning of the survey all new registrants/restorations were asked:

> *"What benefits or services if any, are you entitled to receive now that you have been registered as a status Indian/had your status restored?"*

This question was placed first before any others in order to obtain an unaided measure of awareness of benefits and services without any prompting, that might occur through asking subsequent questions.

As shown in Table 32, 60% of all registrants spontaneously mentioned some aspect of NIHB, including health care, medicines, eye care and dentistry. Higher education grants/funding was identified as a benefit by 24%, sales tax benefits by 20%, aboriginal rights by 10% and 15% said they did not know of any benefits.

Younger respondents (under 25 years of age) were more likely to mention education grants (44% of females and 37% of males). The incidence of mentioning aboriginal rights was highest among males 25 years of age and oyer.

Table 32

Unaided Awareness of Types of Benefits and Services Entitlement Through Registration by Sex and Age Group

		Females			Males		
	Total (48,985) %	Total (31,359) %	Under 25 (5,194) %	Over 25 (26,166) %	Total (17,626) %	Under 25 (5,630) %	Over 25 (11,496) %
NIHB (prescription drugs/eye care/dentistry)	60	63	68	63	52	53	52
Higher education grants/funding / attendance	24	24	44	20	25	37	19
Sales tax benefits	22	20	26	19	25	25	25
Aboriginal rights (treaty/land claims/fishing / hunting / gathering)	10	6	5	6	17	12	19
Don't Know	15	13	9	14	17	18	16
All other specific mentions each less than 10%*							

* Adds to more than 100% due to multiple mentions.

2. Awareness/Use of NIHB

The questionnaire included a lengthy series of items related to awareness and use of NIHB. All registrants were asked:

> *"Are you aware that medicines prescribed for you by your doctor are covered under a government program for status Indians?"*

Those who said they were not aware were asked another question with additional information.

> *"If you can prove that you are a status Indian, you will often not be asked to pay for medicines. They will be paid for by the program. If you do have to pay, you can claim the money back from the program.*
>
> *Have you ever heard of a program that provides prescription medicines for status Indians?"*

All registrants were asked about other benefits.

> *"Are you aware that this same program also covers visits to the dentist?"*
>
> *"Are you aware that the same program also covers the frames and lenses for eye glasses?"*

On an aided basis, 82% of all registrants said that they were aware that medicine prescribed by their doctor is covered under a government program for status Indians, 76% said that they were aware the program also covered visits to the dentist and 73% were aware that there was coverage for frames and lenses for eye glasses. (See Table 33).

3. Use of NIHB for Drug Benefits

In order to assess the extent of program uptake, all registrants were asked about the timing of their last prescription in relation to the date of their registration/restoration. As shown in Table 33, most (83%) had obtained a prescription after that date. The proportions who obtained it at no charge were 56% nationally, with higher rates of coverage in Alberta (70%), Manitoba (66%) and Quebec (67%).

Table 33

Awareness/Use of NIHB by Sex and Age Group

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	Females (31,359) %	Males (17,626) %	Under 25 (10,824) %	25 and Over (38,161) %
NIHB Benefits					
Unaided awareness	60	63	52	60	59
Aided awareness					
Drug	82	83	80	82	82
Dental	76	76	75	80	75
Eye glasses	73	74	72	75	73
Obtained Prescription					
While awaiting decision	5	4	6	5	5
After registration / restoration	83	88	75	80	84
At no charge under government program	56	57	55	64	54

4. Awareness/Use of Post-secondary Education Programs by Sex and Age Group

Registrants were also asked questions on their awareness of and enrolment in post-secondary education programs. As shown in Table 34, the levels of awareness were 24% (unaided) and 81% (aided). One-fifth (21%) of all registrants reported enrolment in a post-secondary education program since entitlement.

Younger registrants were more aware of post-secondary benefits than were older registrants: 41% of all registrants under 25 were aware of these (versus 20% of those 25 and over). Further, 41% had been enroled as full-time students since registration, compared with 15% of the older registrants.

Table 34

Awareness/Enrolment in Post-secondary Programs by Sex and Age Group

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	Females (31,359) %	Males (17,626) %	Under 25 (10,824) %	25 and Over (38,161) %
Unaided awareness	24	24	24	41	20
Aided awareness	81	80	81	88	79
Any post-secondary enrolment since registration/restoration	21	20	21	41	15

5. Use of Post-secondary Education Programs by Period of Enrolment

In addition to questions on their awareness of financial assistance for post-secondary programs and their enrolment at any time since entitlement, all those reporting enrolment were asked if they had been enroled in each academic year from 1985/86 to 1989/90.

Among those who applied for registration/restoration early (before December, 1986), the proportion reporting any enrolment since 1985/86 was higher (at 27%) than among later applicants.

Considered as a proportion of the total enroled at any time between 1985/86 and 1989/90, enrolment has increased steadily from 30% of this group in 1985/86 to 43% in 1989/90 (see Table 35). Again, among those who were early applicants, the proportions enroled in each year are higher than among later applicants.

Table 35

Awareness/Enrolment in Post-secondary Education Programs by Period of Entitlement

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	Before Dec. 86 (9,724) %	Dec. 86 - Nov. 87 (14,660) %	Dec. 87 - Nov. 88 (13,219) %	Dec. 88 - Sept. 89 (11,383) %
Any Enrolment Since Entitlement	21	27	21	19	16
	%	%	%	%	%
- 1985/86	30	30	33	27	29
- 1986/87	30	31	35	27	24
- 1987/88	35	32	49	32	23
- 1988/89	41	47	49	30	33
- 1989/90	43	47	46	39	41

6. Incidence of Receiving Financial Assistance

As shown in Table 36, the proportions of registrants enroled in each academic year, who reported receiving financial assistance as a status Indian in that year, has increased from 24% of those enroled in 1985/86 to 55% in 1989/90.

Table 36

Proportion of Those Enroled Receiving Assistance Each Year by Period of Enrolment and by Period of Entitlement

	Total	Before Dec. 86	Dec. 86 - Nov. 87	Dec. 87 - Nov. 88	Dec. 88 - Sept. 89
Enroled 1985/86	**(4,624)**	**(1,233)**	**(1,214)**	**(1,015)**	**(772)**
	%	**%**	**%**	**%**	**%**
Received assistance	24	48	12	14	17
Enroled 1985/87	**(4,229)**	**(1,342)**	**(1,303)**	**(995)**	**(590)**
	%	**%**	**%**	**%**	**%**
Received assistance	38	70	26	17	24
Enroled 1987/88	**(5,022)**	**(1,277)**	**(1,909)**	**(1,089)**	**(746)**
	%	**%**	**%**	**%**	**%**
Received assistance	44	76	43	24	18
Enroled 1988/89	**(5,695)**	**(1,611)**	**(1,813)**	**(1,207)**	**(1,064)**
	%	**%**	**%**	**%**	**%**
Received assistance	55	69	68	51	19
Enroled 1989/90	**(6,521)**	**(1,643)**	**(2,112)**	**(1,514)**	**(1,252)**
	%	**%**	**%**	**%**	**%**
Received assistance	55	70	67	39	35

D. SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF REGISTRANTS

1. Overview

The survey provides information on the socio-economic characteristics of those persons 18 years of age and over who have been registered for the first time as status Indians and those who had their status restored under Bill C-31 since 1985.

This section of the report provides profiles of registrants as follows:

- By Region of Band of Affiliation - North,[2] Atlantic,[3] Quebec, Ontario, Manitoba, Saskatchewan, Alberta, British Columbia.
- By Sex and Age Group
  - females, males;
  - under 25 years of age; or
  - 25 years of age and over.
- By Location of Current Residence
  - on/off reserve;
  - under 25 km from reserve;
  - 25 - 299 km from reserve; or
  - over 300 km from reserve.

Demographic information obtained through the survey included both characteristics of these registrants and of their households as follows:

- level of Education;
- employment Status;
- location of current residence;
- type of tenure;
- household composition;
- status of Partner; and
- total Household Income in 1989 before taxes.

---

2 *Includes Yukon and Northwest Territories.*

3 *Includes New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island and Newfoundland.*

The applications filed by/on behalf of the new registrants and restorations provided a third source of information, including information on their band of affiliation.

2. Characteristics of Registrants - by Region of Band of Affiliation

a) Sex and Age Group

As shown in Table 37, the majority (60%) of male registrants are under 35 years of age, versus 40% of the females. Twenty-two percent of all registrants/restorations under Bill C-31 are under 25 years of age and 78% are 25 years of age and over. This distribution is fairly consistent across the regions with the exception of the Atlantic, in which 35% of the registrant population is under 25 years of age, and the North and B.C. where the populations are slightly younger than average (20% and 19%, respectively under 25 years of age). (See Table 38).

Table 37

Age Within Sex of Registrants

Population Estimate	Total (31,359) %
Females	
18 - 20	8
21 - 24	8
25 - 34	24
35 - 44	23
45 - 59	26
60 and over	11
Population Estimate	**(17,625) %**
Males	
18 - 20	19
21 - 24	13
25 - 34	28
35 - 44	19
45 - 59	14
60 and over	7

b) Types of Application

On a national basis, the survey shows that the ratio of restorations to new registrants is 1:2 (33% restorations to 67% new registrations).[4] The incidence of restorations ranged from 25% for Atlantic bands and 28% for Northern bands to 29% in Quebec and 31% in Ontario. The levels were consistently higher for bands in the Prairie provinces and B.C. (Manitoba, 35%, Saskatchewan 38%, Alberta 34% and B.C.36%) (See Table 38).

[4] *The survey, by design, overestimates the proportion of restorations. See footnote on page 12.*

c) Education

Overall, 43% of registrants are high-school graduates while 25% graduated from a post-secondary institution (college/CEGEP/university). Again there is considerable variation by region, with the lowest incidences of high-school graduation for bands in Alberta (31%), the North (34%) and Manitoba (36%). The level increases to 41% for those in Saskatchewan and 45% in B.C. to 51% for registrants affiliated with bands in Quebec and 54% in the Atlantic.

The pattern is similar for post-secondary education ranging from 21% for registrants affiliated with bands in the North and Alberta to 32% in Quebec. (See Table 38).

d) Employment Status

Across Canada, 59% of registrants were employed at the time of the survey in May 1990. The results show significant variations by region of band of affiliation in the rate of labour force participation (those employed and those unemployed and looking for work) and in the proportions involved in full-time education (in school/on training). (See Table 38).

Table 38

Characteristics of Registrants - Sex, Age, Type of Application, Education and Employment Status by Region of Band of Affiliation

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	North (2,268) %	Atlantic (1,802) %	Quebec (5,338) %	Ontario (15,269) %	Man. (5,814) %	Sask. (5,001) %	Alberta (4,673) %	B.C. (8,820) %
Sex and Age									
Total Females	64	69	59	63	65	57	69	63	64
Under 25	11	11	17	10	10	7	16	12	8
25 and over	53	58	43	53	55	50	53	51	56
Total Males	36	31	41	37	35	43	31	37	36
Under 25	11	9	18	12	10	18	9	12	11
25 and over	25	22	23	25	25	25	22	25	25
Type of Application									
New Registration	67	72	75	71	69	65	62	66	64
Restoration	33	28	25	29	31	35	38	34	36
Education									
Graduation from:									
High-school	43	34	54	51	47	36	41	31	45
College/CEGEP/ University	25	21	29	32	22	22	26	21	28
Employment Status									
Employed	59	66	52	48	66	48	53	59	61
Unemployed and looking for work	12	11	14	9	11	17	14	15	12
Full-time education	7	5	11	7	2	14	11	8	6
Not employed, not looking for work	21	18	23	31	20	21	22	17	20

e) Household Composition

Table 39 shows the distribution of two types of households:

- Adult(s) only
  - registrant living alone;
  - registrant living with partner; or
  - registrant living with one or more adults.
- Adult(s) and Children
  - registrant and partner with children under 18;
  - registrant with children under 18 and other adult(s);
  - female registrant with children under 18; or
  - male registrant with children under 18.

The regional variation is evident for this characteristic with registrants affiliated with bands in the Prairie provinces yielding the highest proportions of households with children under 18 years of age. The proportion of single-parent households is 7% nationally, but again the proportions are slightly higher in the Prairies: 10% for Manitoba bands, 11% for bands in Saskatchewan and 9% for bands in Alberta.

f) Status of Partner

Table 39 also shows the proportions of registrants living with status partners (11%), non-status partners (9%) and non-aboriginal partners (39%).

Those affiliated with bands in the Atlantic and Quebec are least likely to reside with a partner who has status or with a non-status person ,while the proportions with status and non-status partners are highest in Manitoba (29%), the North (26%) and Alberta (26%). (See Table 39).

Table 39

Household Characteristics - Composition, Status of Partner, Household Income and Average Number of Persons by Region of Band of Affiliation

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	North (2,268) %	Atlantic (1802) %	Quebec (5,338) %	Ontario (15,269) %	Man. (5,814) %	Sask. (5,001) %	Alberta (4,673) %	B.C. (8,820) %
Household Composition									
Adult(s) only	46	44	48	48	55	35	31	34	45
Registrant and Partner with Children Under 18	37	42	34	30	33	38	44	44	39
Registrant with Children Under 18 & Other adults	10	7	13	6	9	16	13	12	9
Female Registrant with Children	6	5	3	4	4	8	9	8	6
Male Registrant with Children	1	1	3	1	0	2	2	1	*
Status of Partner									
Status	11	16	1	5	11	11	9	12	15
Non-status	9	10	9	4	5	18	14	14	7
Non-aboriginal	39	37	41	45	45	24	35	32	41
Home Ownership	55	62	52	52	58	50	52	52	57
Household Income									
Under $10,000	16	11	15	16	13	20	24	18	14
$10,000 - 25,000	22	18	27	24	20	17	21	29	24
$25,001 - 35,000	14	18	12	16	15	15	15	14	11
$35,001 - 50,000	16	16	13	13	19	10	15	16	20
Over $50,000	11	23	11	11	11	9	11	10	12
Refused	20	15	23	21	22	29	14	13	18
Average Number of Persons	3.4	3.3	3.5	3.0	3.3	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.3

* Less than 1%

g) Home Ownership and Household Size and Income

Over half of these registrants report that they own their own homes. For the total population of new registrants/restorations, the incidence of home ownership reported is 55%, with higher than average levels in the North (62%), Ontario (58%) and B.C. (57%).

Thirty-eight percent of registrants report total household incomes for 1989 of less than $25,000. Another 30% stated that their incomes were between $25,000 and $50,000, while 11% reported incomes of over $50,000. The lowest income levels are in Alberta and Saskatchewan, where the proportion of households with incomes of less than $25,000 are 47% and 45% respectively.

The average household size (including registrant, any other adults and any children under 18 years of age) is 3.4 persons for the total population. Consistent with the findings on the presence of children under 18 years of age, the average size is higher for registrants affiliated with bands in the Prairie provinces. (See Table 39).

3. Characteristics of Registrants - by Sex and Age Group

a) Region of Band of Affiliation

As shown in Table 40, the distribution of registrants a oss the regions of band of affiliation does not vary significantly by sex and age group.

b) Type of Application

Predictably, nearly half of all females (45%) are restorations, versus only 11% of males. Similarly, the proportion of restorations among persons 25 years of age and over is very high at 41% in comparison to younger registrants, where only 4% are restorations. (See Table 40).

Table 40

Characteristics of Registrants by Sex and Age Group

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	Females (31,359) %	Males (17,626) %	Under 25 (10,824) %	25 & over (38,161) %
Region (Affiliation)					
North	5	5	4	4	5
Atlantic	4	3	4	6	3
Quebec	11	11	11	11	11
Ontario	31	32	30	28	32
Manitoba	12	11	14	14	11
Saskatchewan	10	11	9	11	10
Alberta	9	9	9	10	9
B.C.	18	18	18	16	19
Type of Application					
New Registration	67	55	89	96	59
Restoration	33	45	11	4	41
Education					
Graduation From:					
High-school	43	41	48	62	38
College/CEGEP/ University	25	25	25	38	21
Employment Status					
Employed	59	64	68	53	60
Unemployed and looking for work	12	11	15	17	11
Full-time education	7	7	7	20	3
Not employed, not looking for work	21	28	10	9	25

* Less than 1%

c) Education

Males are somewhat more likely to report high-school graduation (48% versus 41% of females). However, the level of post-secondary graduation is the same at 25% for both men and women. As might be expected, younger registrants are most likely to have graduated from high-school (62%) and from post-secondary institutions (38%).

d) Employment Status

In comparison with the total population of registrants, labour force participation is higher among males than females (83% versus 75%). Employment is higher among registrants 25 years of age and over at 60%, versus 53% for young registrants and the rate of unemployment (unemployed and looking for work) is highest among young registrants at 17%, versus 11% for older registrants. (See Table 40).

e) Household Characteristics

Table 41 shows that the proportion of registrants living in households in adult-only households and those living with partners and children under 18 are almost identical for men and women. However, single parents, (those living without partners or other adults and with children), are 9% of the female registrant group versus 3% of males. With respect to income levels, 49% of those under 25 report household incomes of under $25,000 versus 35% of older registrants. It should be noted that, overall, 20% of respondents declined to answer the income question.

Females are more likely to have a partner than males (59% versus 49% of males), a finding that is related to the age of males who are younger. Within the sub-groups of males and females who have partners, females are more likely to have non-aboriginal partners (75% of females with partners versus 53% of males with partners). This is due to the fact that the majority of the restorations (88%) are females who married a non-aboriginal partner and as a result were removed from the Indian Register.

Table 41

Household Characteristics by Sex and Age Group

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	Females (31,359) %	Males (17,626) %	Under 25 (10,824) %	25 & over (38,161) %
Household Composition					
Adult(s) only	46	45	46	48	45
Registrant and Partner with Children Under 18	37	37	37	14	44
Registrant, Children Under 18 & Other Adults	10	8	13	38	4
Female Registrant, Children	6	9	N/A	5	6
Male Registrant, Children	1	N/A	3	*	1
Status of Partner					
Status	11	7	18	6	14
Non-status	9	10	8	2	10
Non-aboriginal	39	46	26	14	46
Household Income					
Under $10,000	16	16	16	28	13
$10,000 - 25,000	22	21	24	21	22
$25,001 - 35,000	14	15	14	9	16
$35,001 - 50,000	16	16	17	8	19
Over $50,000	12	10	14	13	11
Refused	20	23	15	21	28
Average Number of Persons	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.4

* Less than 1%

4. Characteristics of Registrants - by Location of Current Residence Relative to Reserve

The final set of tables (Tables 42-45) provides information on the demographics and household characteristics of registrants when examined by location of current residence:

- On Reserve / Off Reserve
- On Reserve
  - under 25 km from reserve[5];
  - 25 - 299 km from reserve; or
  - 300 or more km from reserve.

a) By Region of Band of Affiliation

An estimated 8% of total new registrants/restorations report that they currently live on a reserve, with the largest concentrations affiliated with bands in B.C. (23%), Ontario (22%) and Quebec (19%). The majority (92%) live in a location off a reserve. As shown in Table 42, about one-quarter (23%) of those registrants live less than an estimated 25 kilometers from their reserve of affiliation, with another 15% residing between 25 and 100 kilometres from the reserve.

Half (51%) of all those who live in close proximity to their reserve of affiliation (under 25 km) are located in Ontario and Quebec. (See Table 43). Quebec, B.C. and Manitoba have higher than proportional "shares" of the total on-reserve population, while Ontario and Alberta have less than proportional shares.

---

5 *The distance between current residence and reserve is as evaluated by the respondent.*

Table 42

Location of Current Residence Relative to Reserve by Region of Band of Affiliation

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	North (2,268) %	Atlantic (1,802) %	Quebec (5,338) %	Ontario (15,269) %	Man. (5,814) %	Sask. (5,001) %	Alberta (4,673) %	B.C. (8,820) %
On Reserve	8	9	8	13	5	11	7	2	10
Off Reserve	92	91	92	87	95	89	93	98	90
Population Estimate	**(45,120) %**	**(2,056) %**	**(1,660) %**	**(4,620) %**	**(14,426) %**	**(5,787) %**	**(4,653) %**	**(4,584) %**	**(7,934) %**
Distance in Kilometers									
Less than 25	23	24	22	33	26	18	18	20	20
25 - 49	7	4	12	7	7	1	5	10	9
50 - 99	8	10	7	6	8	6	10	9	6
100 - 199	12	7	10	10	13	19	13	9	11
200 - 299	7	5	3	7	7	11	5	10	5
300 or more	39	42	43	34	34	39	47	39	44
Don't Know	4	8	3	3	5	6	2	3	5

Table 43

Region of Band of Affiliation of Registrants by Location of Current Residence, Relative to a Reserve

	Total	On Reserve	Off-Reserve Total	Distance in Km		
				-25km	25-299	300+
Population Estimate	(48,985)	(3,865)	(45,120)	(10,311)	(15,017)	(17,559)
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Region (Affiliation)						
North	5	5	5	5	4	5
Atlantic	4	4	4	4	3	4
Quebec	11	19	10	15	9	9
Ontario	31	22	32	36	33	28
Manitoba	12	16	11	9	13	11
Saskatchewan	10	9	10	8	10	12
Alberta	10	2	10	9	11	10
B.C.	18	23	18	15	17	20

b) By Location of Current Residence Relative to a Reserve

The on-reserve population contains more males (43%) and restorations (42%) than does the total population living off reserve. Similarly, the proportions of restorations decline in relation to distance from the reserve (from 36% in locations under 25 km from the reserve to 30% in locations far from the reserve of band of affiliation).

Registrants living on reserve are more likely to be unemployed and looking for work (20% versus 12% of the off-reserve population). (See Table 44).

Table 44

Characteristics of Registrants by Location of Current Residence Relative to Reserve

	Total	On Reserve	Off-Reserve Total	Distance in Km		
				-25km	25 - 299	300+
Population Estimate	(48,985)	(3,865)	(45,120)	(10,311)	(15,017)	(17,559)
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Age Within Sex						
Total Females	64	57	65	65	62	66
Under 25	11	13	10	11	9	11
25 and over	53	44	54	54	52	55
Total Males	36	43	35	35	38	34
Under 25	11	15	11	11	11	11
25 and over	25	28	24	24	27	23
Types of Application						
New Registration	67	58	68	64	68	70
Restoration	33	42	32	36	33	30
Employment Status						
Employed	59	46	60	57	60	61
Unemployed and looking for work	12	20	12	14	12	11
Fulltime education	7	7	7	4	5	9
Not employed, not looking for work	21	25	21	24	21	18

c) Household Characteristics

Registrants living on reserve are more likely to live in households that include a partner and children under 18 than are those living off reserve (47% on reserve versus 36% off reserve).

The sub-group on-reserve has a slightly higher incidence of partners (62%) than the off reserve group (58%). In addition, 40% of the on-reserve respondents report status partners, whereas only 8% of the off-reserve group report status partners. Considering only those who report partners, the on-reserve population with partners reports 65% status partners whereas the off-reserve group with partners reports 14% status partners.

The entire population contains an estimated 11% of individuals with status partners. Of this group with status partners, 29% already reside on reserve. Given that 8% of all registrants reside on reserve, it is clear that having a status partner is an important indicator of residence on reserve.

Income levels are lower among registrants living on reserve (46% report total household incomes for 1989 of $25,000 or less versus 38% in the off-reserve population). Similarly, when considered in terms of distance from a reserve, those living in adjacent areas (under 25 km) tend to have lower household incomes (42% report $25,000 or less). This proportion declines to 37% for those living further from the reserve and the proportion with higher incomes increases among those living furthest from the reserve. (See Table 45).

Table 45

Household Characteristics by Location of Current Residence Relative to a Reserve

Population Estimate	Total (48,985) %	On Reserve (3,865) %	Off Reserve (45,120) %	Under 25 (10,311) %	25 - 299 (15,017) %	300 & over (17,559) %
Household Composition						
Adult(s) only	46	34	47	45	48	47
Registrant and Partner with Children Under 18	37	47	36	38	37	35
Registrant, Children Under 18 & Other adults	10	14	10	9	8	10
Female Registrant and Children	6	3	6	6	6	6
Male Registrant and Children	1	1	1	1	1	1
Status of Partner						
Status	11	40	8	11	9	6
Non-status	9	5	9	8	11	9
Non-aboriginal	39	17	41	37	40	44
Household Income						
Under $10,000	16	19	16	17	16	15
$10,000 - 25,000	22	27	22	25	20	22
$25,001 - 35,000	14	10	14	14	17	14
$35,001 - 50,000	16	15	16	12	17	18
Over $50,000	11	5	12	9	13	13
Refused	20	24	20	22	18	18

* Less than 1%

APPENDIX 1

NOTE ON INTERPRETATION AND USE OF TABLE BASES AND CONFIDENCE INTERVALS

Note on Interpretation and Use of Table Bases

This report summarizes data from extensive tabulations of survey responses. It cross-tabulates percentage distributions of responses to two or more questions. Appendix 2 ' es weighted and unweighted bases for the percentages. The unweighted base indicates an actual number of survey responses. The weighted base reflects the weighting scheme described in the technical volume on Analysis of Non-Response. It tells how many New Registrants/Restorations the survey respondents represent.

Weighting to a population base produces estimates of population totals. Readers will generally be more familiar with numbers on such a scale, as most will have had previous exposure to statistics about the population from administrative or other sources. Using the unweighted sample base, on the other hand, would lead to counts of responses by people who completed interviews, and would be misleading.

To calculate an estimate of the number of people in the population who are represented by the percentage shown in the table, simply apply the percentage to the weighted base (population estimate). Estimates of percentages may be used in calculations with either weighted or unweighted bases. Most data in the tables are expressed as percentages. Remember the unweighted base indicates how many responses were used to calculate each statistic.

Confidence Intervals

Technical readers might be interested in calculating confidence intervals to compare values from the tables. The confidence interval for a percentage depends on the percentage estimate itself and on the number of observations (unweighted base) used to calculate it. The exhibit that follows presents 95% confidence intervals for selected percentages and sample sizes, and the formula to use for values not tabulated.

95% Confidence Intervals for Estimated Percentages

Sample Size	Percentage Estimated					
	5%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%
20	12.05%	15.65%	20.03%	22.58%	23.97%	24.41%
50	7.04%	9.32%	12.09%	13.70%	14.58%	14.86%
100	4.77%	6.38%	8.34%	9.48%	10.10%	10.30%
200	3.27%	4.41%	5.79%	6.60%	7.04%	7.18%
500	2.01%	2.73%	3.61%	4.12%	4.39%	4.48%
1000	1.40%	1.91%	2.53%	2.89%	3.09%	3.15%
2000	0.98%	1.34%	1.78%	2.03%	2.17%	2.22%

Example: If 200 observations yield an estimate of 30%, the confidence interval is 30% plus or minus 6.60%, i.e. 23.4% to 36.6%

The actual formula used to calculate the values tabulated above is:

$$1.96 \times \sqrt{(p \times (1-p)/n) + 1/2n},$$

where: p = percentage expressed as a decimal fraction (e.g. 20% = 0.2)
n = sample size (i.e. unweighted base)
$\sqrt{(\,)}$ = square root of the expression in parentheses.

APPENDIX 2

BASE SIZES
UNWEIGHTED (ACTUAL) AND
WEIGHTED (POPULATION ESTIMATES)

TABLE OF BASES UNWEIGHTED (ACTUAL) AND WEIGHTED (POPULATION ESTIMATES)*

	ACTUAL	WEIGHTED
Total Population	2,032	48,985
Region of Band of Affiliation		
North	254	2,268
Atlantic	259	1,802
Quebec	251	5,338
Ontario	254	15,269
Manitoba	256	5,814
Saskatchewan	258	5,001
Alberta	251	4,673
British Columbia	249	8,820
Region of Residence		
North	181	1,658
Atlantic	160	1,164
Quebec	190	4,010
Ontario	280	13,251
Manitoba	210	4,458
Saskatchewan	164	3,504
Alberta	196	3,729
British Columbia	355	9,706
Outside Canada	215	5,844

* For some characteristics, bases may be less than the total sample due to missing information on the DIAND file or non-response to specific questionnaire items

	ACTUAL	WEIGHTED
Total Population	2,032	48,985
Age Within Sex		
Total Females	1,347	31,360
Total under 25	190	5,194
18-20	86	2,533
21-24	104	2,661
Total over 25	1,157	26,166
25-34	333	7,462
35-44	324	7,069
45-59	347	8,320
60 and over	153	3,314
Total Males	684	17,625
Total under 25	186	5,630
18-20	96	3,331
21-24	90	2,299
Total over 25	498	11,996
25-34	200	4,997
35-44	141	3,433
45-59	112	2,476
60 and over	45	1,089
Age Summary		
Under 25	376	10,824
25 and over	1,655	38,161

	ACTUAL	WEIGHTED
Total Population	2,032	48,985
Education of Registrant		
Graduated High School	837	21,190
Did Not Graduate High School	1,192	27,755
Current Residence on Reserve/Crown Land		
Total on Reserve	153	3,865
Total off Reserve	1,878	45,120
Residence on Reserve/ Crown Land		
Total Never	1,165	28,113
Total Ever	702	16,829
Only Under 18	389	9,644
Only Over 18	44	1,160
Both	228	5,157
Entitlement Type		
Registration	1,308	32,820
Restoration	723	16,165
Application Type		
Primary	1,851	43,002
Secondary	180	5,983

	ACTUAL	WEIGHTED
Total Population	2,032	48,985
Type of Household		
Adult(s) Only		
Single	181	4,300
2 or More	714	18,114
Respondent and Partner Total	451	10,990
Respondent Under 60	360	8,974
Respondent 60 and Over	91	2,016
Total Other Adult	263	7,123
Children Under 18		
Females and Children	122	2,725
Male and Children	28	477
Respondent and Partner with Children	797	18,142
Respondent, Other Adult with Children	176	4,994
Household Size		
1	181	4,300
2	469	11,215
3	460	11,529
4	490	11,395
5	264	6,188
6 or More	156	4,157

	ACTUAL	WEIGHTED
Total Population	2,032	48,985
Presence or Absence of Partner		
Absent	439	12,117
Present	1,248	29,132
Characteristics of Partner		
Total Male Partner	**865**	**19,794**
Status Indian	85	2,205
Non-Status Indian	158	3,076
Non-Aboriginal	616	14,394
Total Female Partner	**383**	**9,339**
Status Indian	107	3,201
Non-Status Indian	66	1,410
Non-Aboriginal	205	4,618
Total Partners		
Status Indian	192	5,406
Non-Status Indian	224	4,486
Non-Aboriginal	821	19,012

	ACTUAL	WEIGHTED
Total Population	2,032	48,985
Labour Force Status of Respondent		
Total in Labour Force	1,408	34,800
Employed	1,165	28,719
Unemployed and Looking for Work	243	6,081
Total not in Labour Force	604	13,741
In School or on Training	135	3,313
Not Looking for Work	469	10,429
Household		
Respondent Only Employed	662	16,903
Partner Only Employed	231	5,040
Both Employed	739	17,772
Both Not Employed	116	2,453
Other	746	17,186
Household Income in 1989		
Under $10,000	309	7,889
$10,000 - $25,000	479	10,793
$25,001 - $35,000	296	6,955
$35,001 - $50,000	314	7,951
Over $50,000	240	5,608
Do not know / Refused	393	9,789

	ACTUAL	WEIGHTED
Total Population	2,032	48,985
Elapsed Time Since Entitlement		
Dec. 1988 - Sept. 1989	497	11,383
Dec. 1987 - Nov. 1988	517	13,219
Dec. 1986 - Nov. 1987	572	14,660
Prior to Dec. 1986	445	9,724
Home Ownership		
Own	1,132	26,946
Rent	844	20,569

APPENDIX 3

ADVANCE LETTER TO REGISTRANTS

Indian and Northern Affairs Canada
Affaires indiennes et du Nord Canada

mars 1990

Madame/Monsieur,

Je voudrais vous inviter à participer à un sondage qui sera utilisé par le Ministre des Affaires indiennes et du Nord Canada lors de la préparation de son rapport au Parlement en 1990.

Le Ministère des Affaires indiennes et du Nord Canada, en collaboration avec l'**Assemblée des Premières Nations**, le **Conseil national des Autochtones du Canada** et l'**Association des femmes autochtones du Canada**, mène actuellement une étude de l'ensemble des répercussions du projet de loi C-31 qui, en 1985, a modifié la Loi sur les Indiens. Une partie de cette étude consiste en un sondage téléphonique auprès des personnes qui ont obtenu ou retrouvé le statut d'Indien en vertu de ce projet de loi.

Réalités canadiennes, une firme de recherches indépendante, a été engagée pour mener ce sondage. Dans les prochaines semaines, il se peut que vous receviez un appel téléphonique de **Réalités canadiennes** vous demandant de participer au sondage et de répondre à des questions concernant la façon dont le projet de loi C-31 vous a affecté.

Tous les renseignements que vous donnerez seront confidentiels et vous ne serez identifié dans aucun rapport. Si vous avez des questions à propos du sondage, vous pouvez téléphoner à frais virés à **Réalités canadiennes** au **(416) 924-5751** durant les heures d'ouverture (9h00 à 17h00 - heure normale de l'est) en demandant le Sondage des personnes inscrites.

Bien que votre participation à cette étude soit volontaire, nous comptons sur vous pour nous aider dans cette importante étude et vous remercions à l'avance de votre collaboration.

Directrice
Direction de l'évaluation
Services de soutien à la haute direction

March 1990

Your file / Votre référence

Our file / Notre référence

Madam/Sir:

I would like to invite you to take part in a survey which the Minister of Indian and Northern Affairs Canada will use for his report to Parliament in 1990.

The Department of Indian and Northern Affairs in consultation with the **Assembly of First Nations**, the **Native Council of Canada**, and the **Native Women's Association of Canada**, is conducting a study of the full impacts of Bill C-31, which amended the Indian Act in 1985. One part of the study is a telephone survey of people who have bee registered as Status Indians or who had their Indian status restored under Bill C-31.

Canadian Facts, an independent research company, has been asked to conduct the survey on behalf of the Department. Within the next few weeks you may receive a telephone call from **Canadian Facts** asking you to participate in the survey by answering questions about the ways in which Bill C-31 has affected you.

All of the information which you provide will be confidential and you will not be identified in any reports. If you have any questions about the surv you may call **Canadian Facts** collect at **(416) 924-5751**, during business hours (9:00 a.m. t 5:00 p.m. - Eastern Standard Time) and ask for th Survey of Registrants.

While your participation in this study is voluntary, we are counting on you to help us with this important study. Thank you for your consideratio

Director
Evaluation Directorate
Executive Support Services

Marie-France D'Auray-Boult
Marie-France D'Auray-Boult

Canada

APPENDIX 4

ENGLISH QUESTIONNAIRE

:NAC REFERENCE NUMBER

+---+---+---+---+---+---+ 1-

REGION CODE

1 -- NORTH..... 2- 1

2 -- ATLANTIC..... 2

3 -- QUEBEC..... 3

4 -- ONTARIO..... 4

5 -- MANITOBA..... 5

6 -- SASKATCHEWAN..... 6

7 -- ALBERTA..... 7

8 -- NOT USED..... 8

9 -- BRITISH COLUMBIA.... 9

AGE CODE: 0 -- OVER 25, 1 -- UNDER 25

+---+ 3-

REPLICATE NUMBER

+---+ 4-

PRIMARY APPLICANT CODE: 0 -- APPLIED ON OWN BEHALF, 1 -- SOMEONE ELSE APPLIED

+---+ 5-

SEQUENCE NUMBER

+---+---+---+---+ 6-

NAME

+-+-+-

REGIONAL POPULATION

+---+---+---+---+ 36-

HOUSEHOLD WEIGHT

+---+---+ 37-

TOTAL DEPENDENT CHILDREN

+---+---+ 38-

DEPENDENT CHILDREN REGISTERED

+---+---+ 39-

DEPENDENT CHILDREN DENIED

+---+---+ 40-

DEPENDENT CHILDREN PENDING

+---+---+ 41-

DEPENDENT CHILDREN UNKNOWN

+---+---+ 42-

BAND CODE

+---+---+---+ 43-

ADMINISTRIVE DISTRICT

+---+---+---+ 44-

BLANK

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+ 45-

CARD NUMBER

+---+ 46-

FILLER

+---+---+---+---+---+---+ 47-

BAND NAME

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+ 48-

GEOGRAPHIC ZONE CODE

1 -- URBAN..... 49- 1

2 -- RURAL..... 2

3 -- REMOTE..... 3

4 -- SPECIAL ACCESS..... 4

POPULATION ON RESERVE

+---+---+---+---+---+---+ 50-

POPULATION ON CROWN LAND

+---+---+---+---+---+---+ 51-

TOTAL POPULATION

+---+---+---+---+---+---+ 52-

REGISTERED ADULTS

+---+---+---+---+---+---+ 53-

REGISTERED MINORS

+---+---+---+---+---+---+ 54-

MEMBERSHIP STATUS: Y -- BAND, N -- DEPARTMENT, * -- CREE-NASKAPI ACT

+---+ 55-

MEMBERSHIP DECISION DATE -- YEAR (YY)

+---+---+ 56-

MEMBERSHIP DECISION DATE -- MONTH (MM)

+---+---+ 57-

MEMBERSHIP DECISION DATE -- DAY (DD)
NOT INCLUDED IN ORIGINAL SAMPLE -- BLANK

+---+---+ 58-

CARD NUMBER

+---+ 59-

ENTITLEMENT

RESTORATION (RESTAURÉ)... 60- 1

NEW REGISTRATION (NOUVEAU)..... 2

PREFERRED LANGUAGE

ENGLISH..... 61- 1

FRANCAIS..... 2

POSTAL CODE ON FILE

YES..... 62- 1

NO..... 2

Call Status of This Sample Record

Callback time of This Sample Record

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+ 64-

Result Of Last Call:

01 Completed Interview... 65- 1

02 Number Engaged..... 2

03 No Answer..... 3

04 Appointment..... 4

19 Person Not Known At This Number..... 19

20 Moved No Reference.... 20

21 Physical/Other Handicap..... 21

22 Respondent Refusal (Specified Reason)..... 22

23 Respondent Not Available During Survey Period..... 23

24 Business No..... 24

25 Quota Failure..... 25

26 Number Not In Service. 26

27 Initial Refusal..... 27

28 Respondent Broke Off.. 28

29 Respondent Never Available..... 29

30 Language Problem..... 30

31 Terminated (Other Reasons)..... 31

exit Variable

01 Completed Interview... 66- 1

02 Number Engaged..... 2

03 No Answer..... 3

04 Appointment..... 4

19 Person Not Known At This Number..... 19

20 Moved No Reference.... 20

21 Physical/Other Handicap..... 21

22 Respondent Refusal (Specified Reason)..... 22

23 Respondent Not Available During Survey Period..... 23

24 Business No..... 24

25 Quota Failure..... 25

26 Number Not In Service. 26

27 Initial Refusal..... 27

28 Respondent Broke Off.. 28

29 Respondent Never Available..... 29

30 Language Problem..... 30

31 Terminated (Other Reasons)..... 31

Chance of getting co-operation at another time?

Very likely..... 67- 1

Fairly likely..... 2

Not very likely..... 3

Not at all likely..... 4

(DON'T KNOW)..... 5

Record Of Call WHY WAS THE INTERVIEW TERMINATED?

01 Completed Interview... 68- 1

02 Number Engaged..... 2

03 No Answer..... 3

04 Appointment..... 4

19 Person Not Known At This Number..... 19

20 Moved No Reference.... 20

21 Physical/Other Handicap..... 21

22 Respondent Refusal (Specified Reason)..... 22

23 Respondent Not Available During Survey Period..... 23

24 Business No..... 24

25 Quota Failure..... 25

26 Number Not In Service. 26

27 Initial Refusal..... 27

28 Respondent Broke Off.. 28

29 Respondent Never Available..... 29

30 Language Problem..... 30

31 Terminated (Other Reasons)..... 31

Record Of Call WHY WAS THE INTERVIEW TERMINATED?

01 Completed Interview... 69- 1

02 Number Engaged..... 2

03 No Answer..... 3

04 Appointment..... 4

19 Person Not Known At This Number..... 19

20 Moved No Reference.... 20

21 Physical/Other Handicap..... 21

22 Respondent Refusal (Specified Reason)..... 22

23 Respondent Not Available During Survey Period..... 23

24 Business No..... 24

25 Quota Failure..... 25

26 Number Not In Service. 26

27 Initial Refusal..... 27

28 Respondent Broke Off.. 28

29 Respondent Never Available..... 29

30 Language Problem..... 30

31 Terminated (Other Reasons)..... 31

Record Of Call WHY WAS THE INTERVIEW TERMINATED?

01 Completed Interview... 70- 1

02 Number Engaged..... 2

03 No Answer..... 3

04 Appointment..... 4

19 Person Not Known At This Number..... 19

20 Moved No Reference.... 20

21 Physical/Other Handicap..... 21

22 Respondent Refusal (Specified Reason)..... 22

23 Respondent Not Available During Survey Period..... 23

24 Business No..... 24

25 Quota Failure..... 25

26 Number Not In Service. 26

27 Initial Refusal..... 27

28 Respondent Broke Off.. 28

29 Respondent Never Available..... 29

30 Language Problem..... 30

31 Terminated (Other Reasons)..... 31

Record Of Call WHY WAS THE INTERVIEW TERMINATED?

01 Completed Interview... 71- 1

02 Number Engaged..... 2

03 No Answer..... 3

04 Appointment..... 4

19 Person Not Known At This Number..... 19

20 Moved No Reference.... 20

21 Physical/Other Handicap..... 21

22 Respondent Refusal (Specified Reason)..... 22

23 Respondent Not Available During Survey Period..... 23

24 Business No..... 24

25 Quota Failure..... 25

26 Number Not In Service. 26

27 Initial Refusal..... 27

28 Respondent Broke Off.. 28

29 Respondent Never Available..... 29

30 Language Problem..... 30

31 Terminated (Other Reasons)..... 31

Record Of Call WHY WAS THE INTERVIEW TERMINATED?

01 Completed Interview... 72- 1

02 Number Engaged..... 2

03 No Answer..... 3

04 Appointment..... 4

19 Person Not Known At This Number..... 19

20 Moved No Reference.... 20

21 Physical/Other Handicap..... 21

22 Respondent Refusal (Specified Reason)..... 22

23 Respondent Not Available During Survey Period..... 23

24 Business No..... 24

25 Quota Failure..... 25

26 Number Not In Service. 26

27 Initial Refusal..... 27

28 Respondent Broke Off.. 28

29 Respondent Never Available..... 29

30 Language Problem..... 30

31 Terminated (Other Reasons)..... 31

Record Of Call WHY WAS THE INTERVIEW TERMINATED?

01 Completed Interview... 73- 1

02 Number Engaged..... 2

03 No Answer..... 3

04 Appointment..... 4

19 Person Not Known At This Number..... 19

20 Moved No Reference.... 20

21 Physical/Other Handicap..... 21

22 Respondent Refusal (Specified Reason)..... 22

23 Respondent Not Available During Survey Period..... 23

24 Business No..... 24

25 Quota Failure..... 25

26 Number Not In Service. 26

27 Initial Refusal..... 27

28 Respondent Broke Off.. 28

29 Respondent Never Available..... 29

30 Language Problem..... 30

31 Terminated (Other Reasons)..... 31

Record Of Call WHY WAS THE INTERVIEW TERMINATED?

01 Completed Interview... 74- 1

02 Number Engaged..... 2

03 No Answer..... 3

04 Appointment..... 4

19 Person Not Known At This Number..... 19

20 Moved No Reference.... 20

21 Physical/Other Handicap..... 21

22 Respondent Refusal (Specified Reason)..... 22

23 Respondent Not Available During Survey Period..... 23

24 Business No..... 24

25 Quota Failure..... 25

26 Number Not In Service. 26

27 Initial Refusal..... 27

28 Respondent Broke Off.. 28

29 Respondent Never Available..... 29

30 Language Problem..... 30

31 Terminated (Other Reasons)..... 31

+--+
| %N, your call result is %65.. |
+--+

AGE GROUP

OVER 25..... 75- 1

UNDER 25..... 2

TYPE OF APPLICANT

PRIMARY..... 76- 1

SECONDARY (MINOR)..... 2

DATE OF APPLICATION (MONTH) -- ENGLISH TEXT

JANUARY..... 77- 1

FEBRUARY..... 2

MARCH..... 3

APRIL..... 4

MAY..... 5

JUNE..... 6

JULY..... 7

AUGUST..... 8

SEPTEMBER..... 9

OCTOBER..... 10

NOVEMBER..... 11

DECEMBER..... 12

DATE OF ENTITLEMENT (MONTH) -- ENGLISH TEXT

JANUARY..... 78- 1

FEBRUARY..... 2

MARCH..... 3

APRIL..... 4

MAY..... 5

JUNE..... 6

JULY..... 7

AUGUST..... 8

SEPTEMBER..... 9

OCTOBER..... 10

NOVEMBER..... 11

DECEMBER..... 12

+----------+
| The Quota for AGE GROUP: %82. in REGION: %81. has been achieved. Please inform your Supervisor. |
+----------+

+----------+
| BLOCK A: INTRODUCTION |
+----------+

| May I please speak to %7. ?
| Hello, my name is %N from Canadian Facts.
| On behalf of the Federal Department of Indian Affairs and in consultation with the Assembly of First Nations, the Native Council of Canada and the Native Women's Association of Canada, we are conducting a survey of people who were registered as status Indians or who had their Indian status restored under Bill C-31, which amended the Indian Act in 1985. The purpose of the survey is to assess the impacts of Bill C-31 on individuals.
| This information will be used by the Minister of Indian Affairs in his report to Parliament in 1990.
| Your participation in this survey is voluntary. Your answers will be kept confidential as provided for in the Privacy and Access to Information Acts. They will only be used to help make statistical tables, by adding them in with the answers of other people.
| Would you answer some questions about how Bill C-31 has affected you?
| (IF "YES" ASK:) Is now a convenient time for me to complete the interview?
| It takes about 20 minutes to complete.
|
| OK, CONTINUE..... 79- 1
|
| NOT IN SERVICE..... 2
|
| BUSINESS NO..... 3
|
| NO ANSWER..... 4
|
| BUSY..... 5
|
| PERSON NOT KNOWN AT THIS NO..... 6
|
| NEW TELEPHONE NO. IF AVAILABLE AT OLD NO..... 7
|
| MOVED NO REFERENCE..... 8
|
| INITIAL REFUSAL..... 9
|
| RESPONDENT REFUSAL (SPECIFY)..... 10
|
| APPOINTMENT..... 11
|
| LANGUAGE PROBLEM (REQUEST/SPECIFY)..... 12
|
| PHYSICAL/OTHER HANDICAP.. 13
|
| OTHER (TERMINATE)..... 14
|
| RESPONDENT REFUSAL (SPECIFY)?
|
| OPEN..... 41,
|
| LANGUAGE PROBLEM (REQUEST/SPECIFY)?
|
| OPEN..... 41,
|
| +----------+
| | BLOCK B: STATUS HISTORY |
| +----------+

ASK ALL

(Q1) What benefits or services, if any, are you entitled to receive now that you have %535./ been registered as a status Indian / had your status restored /?
(DO NOT READ LIST. CODE RESPONSE, ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

RETURN TO RESERVE/COMMUNITY	82-	1,
SALES TAX BENEFITS		2,
ACCESS TO RESERVE HOUSING		3,
ACCESS TO OTHER RESERVE PROGRAMS/SERVICES		4,
HIGHER EDUCATION GRANTS/FUNDING/ATTENDENCE		5,
NIHB (PRESCRIPTION DRUGS/EYE CARE/DENTISTRY)		6,
ABORIGINAL RIGHTS (TREATY/LAND CLAIM/FISHING/HUNTING/GATHERING)		7,
NONE		38,
DON'T KNOW		39,
REFUSED		40,
OPEN		41,

ASK OF RESTORATIONS

(Q2) Many of the people who have had their status restored are people whose names had been taken off the Register at some time in the past. In what year approximately was your name removed from the Indian Register?

BEFORE 1920	83-	1
1920 TO 1929		2
1930 TO 1939		3
1940 TO 1949		4
1950 TO 1959		5
1960 TO 1969		6
1970 TO 1979		7
1980 TO 1985		8
DON'T KNOW/DON'T RECALL		9
REFUSED		10

ASK OF REGISTRATIONS, ALL RESTORATIONS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK

(Q3) Many of the people who have been placed on the Indian Register for the first time are people whose mothers' names were removed from the Indian Register at some time in the past. Was your mother's name removed from the Register?

YES	84-	1
NO		2
DON'T KNOW		3
REFUSED		4

ASK IF (Q3) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK

(Q4) In what year approximately was your mother's name removed from the Indian Register?

BEFORE 1920	85-	1
1920 TO 1929		2
1930 TO 1939		3
1940 TO 1949		4
1950 TO 1959		5
1960 TO 1969		6
1970 TO 1979		7
1980 TO 1985		8
DON'T KNOW/DON'T RECALL		9
REFUSED		10

BLOCK C: IMPORTANCE OF STATUS

ASK ALL

(Q5) First could you think back to the time when you first applied to %550./ be registered as a status Indian. / have your Indian status restored. / Why was it important to you to apply?
(DO NOT READ LIST. CODE RESPONSE, ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

CORRECT INJUSTICE	86-	1,
PERSONAL/INDIVIDUAL IDENTITY		2,

CULTURE/SENSE OF BELONGING TO COMMUNITY... 3,

RETURN TO RESERVE/COMMUNITY..... 4,

SALES TAX BENEFITS..... 5,

ACCESS TO RESERVE HOUSING 6,

ACCESS TO OTHER RESERVE PROGRAMS/SERVICES..... 7,

HIGHER EDUCATION GRANTS/FUNDING/ATTENDENCE 8,

NIHB (PRESCRIPTION DRUGS/EYE CARE/DENTISTRY) 9,

ABORIGINAL RIGHTS (TREATY/LAND CLAIM/FISHING/HUNTING/GATHERING).... 10,

NO REASON/NOT IMPORTANT.. 38,

DON'T KNOW..... 39,

REFUSED..... 40,

OPEN..... 41,

(Q6) Thinking about your life now in comparison with what your life was like before you %558,/ were registered / had your status restored / what is the biggest change that has happened as a result of your %560,/ gaining / regaining / status?
(DO NOT READ LIST. CODE RESPONSE, ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

CORRECT INJUSTICE..... 87- 1,

PERSONAL/INDIVIDUAL IDENTITY..... 2,

CULTURE/SENSE OF BELONGING TO COMMUNITY... 3,

RETURN TO RESERVE/COMMUNITY..... 4,

SALES TAX BENEFITS..... 5,

ACCESS TO RESERVE HOUSING 6,

ACCESS TO OTHER RESERVE PROGRAMS/SERVICES..... 7,

HIGHER EDUCATION GRANTS/FUNDING/ATTENDENCE 8,

NIHB (PRESCRIPTION DRUGS/EYE CARE/DENTISTRY) 9,

ABORIGINAL RIGHTS (TREATY/LAND CLAIM/FISHING/HUNTING/GATHERING).... 10,

NO REASON/NOT IMPORTANT.. 38,

DON'T KNOW..... 39,

REFUSED..... 40,

OPEN..... 41,

BLOCK D: MEMBERSHIP CODES

ASK ALL

Now I have some questions about membership codes. Membership codes are written rules that describe who can and who cannot be a band member.

(Q7) Are you aware that a band can now create its own membership code?

YES..... 88- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

IF (Q7) "YES" SKIP TO (Q8), ASK ALL OTHERS.

(Q7A) Changes made to the Indian Act in 1985 allow a band to create and pass its own rules describing who can and who cannot be a band member.

Have you ever heard about bands being able to create their own rules saying who can and who cannot be a member?

YES..... 89- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF EITHER (Q7) OR (Q7A) "YES", ALL OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q8) Have you contacted your band office or anyone else to try to find out about any membership code your band might have adopted?

YES..... 90- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

(Q9) As far as you know, does the band to which you have been registered by the Department of Indian Affairs have its own membership code?

YES..... 91- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q9) "YES", ALL OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q10) Were you involved in the adoption of this membership code, for example by voting on it, or participating in a meeting at which it was adopted?

YES..... 92- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

(Q11) Were you registered before or after your band adopted its own membership code?

BEFORE..... 93- 1

AFTER..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

(Q12) As far as you know, are you able to be a band member under the membership code your band has adopted?

YES..... 94- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK ONLY OF REGISTRANTS WHOSE APPLICATIONS SHOW MINOR APPLICANTS WHO HAVE BEEN REGISTERED.

(Q13) Can your children who have been registered as status Indians be band members under the membership code your band has adopted?

YES..... 95- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK ONLY OF REGISTRANTS WHOSE APPLICATIONS SHOW MINOR APPLICANTS WHO HAVE BEEN DENIED

(Q14) Can your children who do not have Indian status be band members under the membership code your band has adopted?

YES..... 96- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

BLOCK E: RESIDENCE ON RESERVE

ASK ALL

Now I would like to ask you a few questions concerning residence on reserve.
%585,/ By a reserve I mean either a reserve or crown land administered by a band. / /~~~~~~~

(Q15) Are you currently living on %588,/ a reserve, that is, a reserve or crown land administered by a band / an Indian reserve /?

YES..... 97- 1

NO..... 2

IF (Q15) "YES" GO TO BLOCK E1, FOLLOWED BY BLOCK G,
IF (Q15) "NO" SKIP TO BLOCK E2, FOLLOWED BY BLOCK F.

BLOCK E1: ASK OF THOSE CURRENTLY RESIDING ON RESERVE

(Q16) Is this the reserve %594,/ or crown land / / where you are registered?

YES..... 98- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 39

REFUSED..... 40

OPEN..... 41

(Q17) Have you ever lived off the reserve %600,/ or crown land / / where you now live?

YES..... 99- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q17) "YES", IF "NO" SKIP TO BLOCK G (AUTOMATIC FILL (Q19), (Q20), (Q21), (Q22)), IF "DON'T KNOW" OR "REFUSED" SKIP TO (Q19) OR (Q20) IF "YES" AT (Q16).

(Q18) In what year did you last live elsewhere?
(DO NOT READ LIST)

BEFORE 1970..... 100- 1

1970 TO 1974..... 2

1975 TO 1979..... 3

1980 TO 1984..... 4

1985 AND LATER..... 5

DON'T KNOW..... 6

REFUSED..... 7

ASK IF (Q18) "1985 AND LATER" OR "DON'T KNOW" OR "REFUSED", ALL OTHERS TO (Q19) OR (Q20) IF "YES" AT (Q16).

(Q18A) Did you move to the reserve %608,/, that is a reserve or crown land administered by a band, / / where you now live, before or after you %610,/ were registered / had your status restored /?

BEFORE %616,/ REGISTERED / RESTORED /..... 101- 1

AFTER %620,/ REGISTERED / RESTORED /..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

IF "YES" AT (Q16) DO NOT ASK (Q19) SKIP TO (Q20), ASK OTHERS

(Q19) Have you ever lived on the reserve %628,/ or crown land / / where you are registered?

YES..... 102- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

(Q20) When you were growing up, before you reached age 18, did you live on a reserve %632,/ or crown land / / at any time? Do not include visits or vacations spent on a reserve %634,/ or crown land/ /.

YES..... 103- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q20) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO (Q22)

(Q21) In total, for how many of the years before you were 18 did you live on a reserve %642,/ or crown land administered by a band / /? Please count any year during which you lived on a reserve %644,/ or crown land / / for six months or more.

+--+--+ 104-

(Q22) In total, for how many of the years since you were 18 have you lived on a reserve %650,/ or crown land administered by a band / /? Please count any year during which you lived on a reserve %652,/ or crown land / / for six months or more.

+--+--+ 105-

AT END OF BLOCK E1 SKIP TO BLOCK G.

BLOCK E2: ASK OF THOSE NOT CURRENTLY RESIDING ON RESERVE

(Q23) How far is it from where you live now to the reserve %663,/ or crown land / / where you are registered?
(DO NOT READ LIST)

LESS THAN 25 KILOMETERS OR 15 MILES..... 106- 1

25 TO 49 KILOMETERS OR 15 TO 30 MILES..... 2

50 TO 99 KILOMETERS OR 31 TO 61 MILES..... 3

100 TO 199 KILOMETERS OR 62 TO 123 MILES..... 4

200 TO 299 KILOMETERS OR 124 TO 185 MILES..... 5

300 KILOMETERS OR MORE, OR 186 MILES OR MORE..... 6

DON'T KNOW..... 7

REFUSED..... 8

(Q24) Have you ever lived on ANY reserve %667,/, that is a reserve or crown land administered by a band/ /?

YES..... 107- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q24) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q25) Have you ever lived on the reserve %673,/ or crown land / / where you are registered?

YES..... 108- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

(Q26) When you were growing up, before you reached age 18, did you live on a reserve %677,/ or crown land / / at any time?

YES..... 109- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q26) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO (Q28)

(Q27) In total, for how many of the years before you were 18 did you live on a reserve %683,/ or crown land administered by a band / /? Please count any year during which you lived on a reserve %685,/ or crown land / / for six months or more.

+--+--+ 110-

(Q28) In the years since you became 18 have you lived on a reserve %691,/ or crown land / / at any time?

YES..... 111- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q28) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q29) In total, for how many of the years since you were 18 have you lived on a reserve %697,/ or crown land administered by a band / /? Please count any year during which you lived on a reserve %699,/ or crown land / / for six months or more.

+--+--+ 112-

BLOCK F: RETURN TO RESERVE (THIS BLOCK SKIPPED FOR THOSE ALREADY RESIDING ON RESERVE)

(Q30) Ideally, would you like to live on a reserve %709,/, that is a reserve or crown land administered by a band / /?

YES..... 113- 1

CONDITIONAL YES..... 2

NO..... 3

CONDITIONAL NO..... 4

DON'T KNOW..... 5

REFUSED..... 6

(Q31) Is there a possibility that you might return to a reserve %713,/ or crown land / / at some time in the future?

YES..... 114- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

IF (Q31) "NO" ASK (Q34) TO (Q38) THEN SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK, IF "REFUSED" SKIP TO (Q34), ASK ALL OTHERS.

(Q32) When might that happen?

(PROBE IF ANSWER NOT GIVEN IN YEARS.)

How many years from now would that be?

5 OR LESS..... 115- 1

6 TO 10..... 2

11 TO 15..... 3

16 TO 20..... 4

21 TO 25..... 5

26 TO 30..... 6

31 TO 35..... 7

36 TO 40..... 8

41 OR MORE..... 9

DON'T KNOW..... 10

REFUSED..... 11

(Q33) Would you like to live on the reserve %719,/ or crown land / / where you %721,/ have been registered / had your status restored / by the Department of Indian Affairs, or on the reserve %723,/ or crown land / / of some other band?

BAND TO WHICH REGISTERED. 116- 1

ANOTHER BAND..... 2

DEPENDS..... 3

DON'T KNOW..... 4

REFUSED..... 5

ASK ALL

(Q34) Is there anything about the reserve %731,/ or crown land administered by a band / / that makes it difficult for you to live there?

YES..... 117- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q34) "YES", ALL OTHERS SKIP TO (Q36).

(Q35) What makes it difficult to live there?
(DO NOT READ LIST. CODE RESPONSE, ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

PERSONAL IDENTITY/BELONGING..... 118- 1,

CULTURE/ENTERTAINMENT/ACTIVITIES..... 2,

ECONOMIC CONDITIONS/EMPLOYMENT.... 3,

HOUSING AVAILABILITY..... 4,

ATTITUDES TO C-31 REGISTRANTS..... 5,

MEMBERSHIP CODE/RULES.... 6,

RESIDENCY RULES/BYLAW.... 7,

INTEGRATION OF CHILDREN.. 8,

INTEGRATION OF SPOUSE.... 9,

APPLICATION OF RULES (MEMBERSHIP, RESIDENCE, OTHER) TO CHILDREN..... 10,

APPLICATION OF RULES (MEMBERSHIP, RESIDENCE, OTHER) TO SPOUSE..... 11,

DON'T KNOW..... 39,

REFUSED..... 40,

OPEN..... 41,

(Q36) Is there anything in your life now that might make it difficult for you to move to a reserve %741,/ or crown land / / if you wanted to?

YES..... 119- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q36) "YES", ALL OTHERS SKIP TO (Q38).

(Q37) What would make it difficult for you to move?
(DO NOT READ LIST. CODE RESPONSE, ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

JOB/EMPLOYMENT..... 120- 1,

HOUSING/HOME OWNERSHIP... 2,

MARRIAGE/SPOUSE..... 3,

CHILDREN..... 4,

OTHER RELATIVES..... 5,

FRIENDS..... 6,

ENTERTAINMENT/ACTIVITIES. 7,

DON'T KNOW..... 39,

REFUSED..... 40,

OPEN..... 41,

ASK ONLY OF RESPONDENTS WHOSE APPLICATIONS SHOWED DENIED CHILDREN UNDER 18 ON SEPTEMBER 30, 1989, OTHERS SKIP TO (Q39).

(Q38) As far as you know, would your band allow your children who do not have Indian status to live on reserve %753,/, that is a reserve or crown land administered by a band/ /?

YES	121-	1
NO		2
DON'T KNOW		3
REFUSED		4

IF "NO" AT (Q30) AND (Q31) SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK, ASK ALL OTHERS.

(Q39) Supposing you wanted to move on to a reserve %759,/ or crown land / / would it be easy or difficult for you to find a place to live?

(PROBE:) Would that be moderately easy/difficult, or very easy/difficult?

VERY EASY	122-	1
MODERATELY EASY		2
MODERATELY DIFFICULT		3
VERY DIFFICULT		4
DON'T KNOW		5
REFUSED		6

(Q40) Some bands build houses or apartments for reserve residents and rent or lease these to band members. If you moved on to a reserve %763,/ or crown land/ /, would you live in a house or apartment built by the band?

YES	123-	1
NO		2
DON'T KNOW		3
REFUSED		4

ASK IF (Q40) "NO", OTHERS SKIP TO (Q43).

(Q40A) Where would you live?
(DO NOT READ LIST. CODE RESPONSE)

RENT A HOUSE FROM SOMEONE OTHER THAN THE BAND	124-	1
BUY A HOUSE		2
BUILD MY OWN HOUSE		3
LIVE WITH A RELATIVE		4
LIVE WITH A FRIEND		5
DON'T KNOW		39
REFUSED		40
OPEN		41

(Q41) Since you %771,/ were registered / had your status restored /, have you spoken with any friends or relatives living on reserve %773,/ or crown land / / about housing possibilities for you on reserve %775,/ or crown land administered by a band / /?

YES	125-	1
NO		2
DON'T KNOW		3
REFUSED		4

(Q42) Since you applied to be %783,/ registered / restored / have you contacted your band office either to ask about housing available from your band or to apply for a house or apartment?

YES	126-	1
NO		2
DON'T KNOW		3
REFUSED		4

ASK IF (Q42) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q43) Have you applied to your band for a house or apartment?

YES	127-	1
NO		2
DON'T KNOW		3
REFUSED		4

ASK IF (Q43) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q44) Is your name currently on a waiting list for a band house or apartment?

YES	128-	1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

+----------+
| IF (Q44) "NO" SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK, ASK ALL OTHERS. |
+----------+

(Q45) How many years do you expect to wait before a house or apartment is available for you?

+--+--+ 129-

+----------+
| BLOCK G: NON-INSURED HEALTH BENEFITS |
+----------+

+----------+
| ASK ALL |
+----------+

+----------+
| Now I'd like to ask you some questions about health benefits. |
+----------+

(Q46) Are you aware that medicines prescribed for you by your doctor are covered under a government program for status Indians?

YES..... 130- 1

NO..... 2

OTHER ANSWERS..... 3

DON'T KNOW..... 4

REFUSED..... 5

+----------+
| IF (Q46) "YES" SKIP TO (Q48), ASK ALL OTHERS. |
+----------+

(Q47) If you can prove that you are a status Indian, you will often not be asked to pay for medicines. They will be paid for by the program. If you do have to pay, you can claim the money back from the program.

Have you ever heard of a program that provides prescription medicines for status Indians?

YES..... 131- 1

NO..... 2

OTHER ANSWERS..... 3

DON'T KNOW..... 4

REFUSED..... 5

+----------+
| ASK ALL |
+----------+

(Q48) Are you aware that this same program also covers sits to the dentist?

YES..... 132- 1

NO..... 2

OTHER ANSWERS..... 3

DON'T KNOW..... 4

REFUSED..... 5

(Q49) Are you aware that the same program also covers the frames and lenses for eye glasses?

YES..... 133- 1

NO..... 2

OTHER ANSWERS..... 3

DON'T KNOW..... 4

REFUSED..... 5

+----------+
| ASK (Q50) WHERE APPLICANT FILE SHOWS NO REGISTERED CHILDREN, ASK (Q50A) WHERE REGISTERED CHILDREN ARE IN FILE |
+----------+

COMPUTED VARIABLE FOR (Q50 1)/(Q50 2)/(Q50 3)

After you had been registered/your status had been restored in Month/Year..... 134- 1

After you had applied, but while you were waiting to be registered/ have your status restored 2

Before you applied to be registered/have your status restored in Month/Year..... 3

DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE AS TO TIMING..... 4

NEVER/HAVE NEVER BEEN PRESCRIBED MEDICINE..... 5

REFUSED..... 6

%800,/ Q50 How would you think back to the last time you obtained medicine that had been prescribed for you by a doctor. / Q50A How would you think back to the last time you obtained medicine that had been prescribed by a doctor either for you or for one of your children who has been registered. /

Was this after %802,/ you had been registered / your status had been restored / in %88. %90.?

YES..... 135- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE AS TO TIMING..... 3

NEVER/HAVE NEVER BEEN PRESCRIBED MEDICINE..... 4

REFUSED..... 5

ASK IF "NO"

%810,/ Q50 How would you think back to the last time you obtained medicine that had been prescribed for you by a doctor. / Q50A How would you think back to the last time you obtained medicine that had been prescribed by a doctor either for you or for one of your children who has been registered. /

Was this after you had applied, but while you were waiting to %812,/ be registered / have your status restored /?

YES..... 136- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE AS TO TIMING..... 3

NEVER/HAVE NEVER BEEN PRESCRIBED MEDICINE..... 4

REFUSED..... 5

ASK IF "NO"

%820,/ Q50 How would you think back to the last time you obtained medicine that had been prescribed for you by a doctor. / Q50A How would you think back to the last time you obtained medicine that had been prescribed by a doctor either for you or for one of your children who has been registered. /

Was this before you applied to %822,/ be registered / have your status restored / in %85. %87.?

YES..... 137- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE AS TO TIMING..... 3

NEVER/HAVE NEVER BEEN PRESCRIBED MEDICINE..... 4

REFUSED..... 5

IF (Q50) OR (Q50A) "AFTER YOU HAD APPLIED, BUT WHILE YOU WERE WAITING" SKIP TO (Q55), IF "BEFORE YOU APPLIED" OR "NEVER/HAVE NEVER BEEN PRESCRIBED MEDICINE" SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK, ASK ALL OTHERS.

(Q51) When you obtained the medicine did you pay for it, or was it provided to you at no charge?

I PAID..... 138- 1

NO CHARGE..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q51) "I PAID", IF "NO CHARGE" ASK (Q54) THEN SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK, OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q52) Have you applied to the government program, the Non-Insured Health Benefits Program, for the money spent on this medicine?

YES..... 139- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q52) "NO", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q53) Why have you not applied? (DO NOT READ LIST. CODE RESPONSE, ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

NO RECEIPT/RECEIPT LOST.. 140- 1.

NOT AWARE OF ELIGIBILITY. 2.

HAVE OTHER COVERAGE..... 3.

INTEND TO APPLY..... 4.

NOT WORTH EFFORT/ADMINISTRATIVE DIFFICULTY..... 5,

DON'T KNOW..... 39,

REFUSED..... 40,

OPEN..... 41,

ASK IF (Q51) "NO CHARGE" THEN SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q54) As far as you know, was the medicine supplied to you at no charge under the government program that covers prescription medicines for status Indians?

YES..... 141- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q50) OR (Q50A) "AFTER YOU HAD APPLIED, BUT WHILE YOU WERE WAITING", ALL OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q55) While you were waiting for a decision on your status between %85. %87. and %88. %90., did you pay for any medicines prescribed %857./ for you / either for you or for one of your children who has been registered / ?

YES..... 142- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q55) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q56) Money spent on these medicines can be claimed from the government program. The program is called the Non-Insured Health Benefits Program.

Have you claimed from the program any of this money paid for prescription medicines during the time you were waiting to be %863,/ registered / restored /?

YES..... 143- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q56) "NO", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q57) Can you tell me why you have not applied to this program for the money spent on medicine?
(DO NOT READ LIST. CODE RESPONSE, ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

NO RECEIPT/RECEIPT LOST.. 144- 1,

NOT AWARE OF ELIGIBILITY. 2,

HAVE OTHER COVERAGE..... 3,

INTEND TO APPLY..... 4,

NOT WORTH EFFORT/ADMINISTRATIVE DIFFICULTY..... 5,

DON'T KNOW..... 39,

REFUSED..... 40,

OPEN..... 41,

BLOCK H: HIGHER EDUCATION

ASK ALL

Now just a few questions about education.

(Q58) Are you aware that financial help is available for status Indians who attend college or university?

YES..... 145- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

IF "YES" SKIP TO (Q60), ASK ALL OTHERS.

(Q59) The financial help involves grants that are given to status Indians who have been accepted at a college or university. People apply to the Department of Indian Affairs or in some cases to their Band or Tribal Council for these grants.

Have you ever heard of these grants?

YES	146-	1
NO		2
DON'T KNOW		3
REFUSED		4

ASK ALL

(Q60) During the time since you %878,/ were registered / had your status restored / in %88. %90., have you at any time been enrolled as a full or part time student in a college or university?

YES	147-	1
NO		2
DON'T KNOW		3
REFUSED		4

ASK IF (Q60) "YES", ALL OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q61) I'm going to read you a list of the academic years since 1985. For each year, please tell me whether you were a full-time student, a part-time student or not enrolled?
1985/86

FULL-TIME STUDENT	148-	1
PART-TIME STUDENT		2
NOT ENROLLED		3
DON'T KNOW		4
REFUSED		5

ASK IF ENROLLED

(Q62) Did you receive financial assistance for higher education as a status Indian during 1985/86?

YES	149-	1
NO		2
DON'T KNOW		3
REFUSED		4

(Q61) I'm going to read you a list of the academic years since 1985. For each year, please tell me whether you were a full-time student, a part-time student or not enrolled?
1986/87

FULL-TIME STUDENT	150-	1
PART-TIME STUDENT		2
NOT ENROLLED		3
DON'T KNOW		4
REFUSED		5

ASK IF ENROLLED

(Q62) Did you receive financial assistance for higher education as a status Indian during 1986/87?

YES	151-	1
NO		2
DON'T KNOW		3
REFUSED		4

(Q61) I'm going to read you a list of the academic years since 1985. For each year, please tell me whether you were a full-time student, a part-time student or not enrolled?
1987/88

FULL-TIME STUDENT	152-	1
PART-TIME STUDENT		2
NOT ENROLLED		3
DON'T KNOW		4
REFUSED		5

ASK IF ENROLLED

(Q62) Did you receive financial assistance for higher education as a status Indian during 1987/88?

YES	153-	1
NO		2
DON'T KNOW		3
REFUSED		4

(Q61) I'm going to read you a list of the academic years since 1985. For each year, please tell me whether you were a full-time student, a part-time student or not enrolled?
1988/89

FULL-TIME STUDENT.....	154-	1
PART-TIME STUDENT.....		2
NOT ENROLLED.....		3
DON'T KNOW.....		4
REFUSED.....		5

ASK IF ENROLLED

(Q62) Did you receive financial assistance for higher education as a status Indian during 1988/89?

YES.....	155-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

(Q61) I'm going to read you a list of the academic years since 1985. For each year, please tell me whether you were a full-time student, a part-time student or not enrolled?
1989/90

FULL-TIME STUDENT.....	156-	1
PART-TIME STUDENT.....		2
NOT ENROLLED.....		3
DON'T KNOW.....		4
REFUSED.....		5

ASK IF ENROLLED

(Q62) Did you receive financial assistance for higher education as a status Indian during 1989/90 ?

YES.....	157-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

BLOCK I: EDUCATION

ASK ALL

(Q63) Did you graduate from high school?

YES.....	158-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

IF (Q63) "YES" AND IF (Q60) "YES" DO NOT ASK (Q64) ANSWER AS "YES" THEN SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK,
ASK IF (Q63) "YES" AND IF (Q60) NOT "YES", ALL OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q64) Did you attend a college (CEGEP) or university for any period of time?

YES.....	159-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

BLOCK J: HOUSEHOLD AND HOUSEHOLD COMPOSITION

ASK ALL

Now just a few questions about where you live.

(Q65) Do you own or rent your accomodation?

OWN.....	160-	1
RENT.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

(Q66) Do you live in .....?
(READ LIST)

A Detached House.....	161-	1
A Semi-Detached House....		2
A Row Or Townhouse.....		3
A Duplex Or Triplex.....		4
A Flat.....		5

A Condominium..... 6

An Apartment..... 7

Or Some Other Form Of Accommodation..... 8

DON'T KNOW..... 9

REFUSED..... 10

ASK IF (Q66) "SOME OTHER FORM OF ACCOMMODATION", OTHERS SKIP TO (Q67).

(Q66A) Could you please describe this accommodation?
(DO NOT READ LIST)

UNIVERSITY RESIDENCE/OTHER STUDENT ACCOMMODATION..... 162- 1

NURSING/RETIREMENT/SENIOR CITIZENS HOME..... 2

OTHER INSTITUTION..... 3

DON'T KNOW..... 39

REFUSED..... 40

OPEN..... 41

(DO NOT ASK. CODE FROM INTERVIEW)
(Q67) GENDER?

MALE..... 163- 1

FEMALE..... 2

Now I'd like to ask you some questions about the status of the people who live with you. This information is for statistical purposes only.

(Q68) How many people living in your household are over 18, including yourself?

+--+--+ 164-

ASK IF (Q68) TWO OR MORE, OTHERS SKIP TO (Q74).

(Q69) Is %911,/ one of these people / this other person / your %913,/ husband / wife / or common-law partner?

YES..... 165- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q69) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO (Q74).

(Q70) Is your %921,/ husband / wife / registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 166- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

IF (Q70) "YES" SKIP TO (Q74), ASK ALL OTHERS.

(Q71) Is your %927,/ husband / wife / a non-status Indian, that is someone who has Indian ancestors, but is not registered with the Department of Indian Affairs?

YES..... 167- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

IF (Q71) "NO" SKIP TO (Q74), ASK ALL OTHERS.

(Q72) As far as you know, has your %933,/ husband / wife / applied to be registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 168- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q72) "YES", ALL OTHERS SKIP TO (Q74).

(Q73) Has %939,/ husband / wife / been denied status, or is %941,/ he / she / still waiting for a decision?

DENIED..... 169- 1

WAITING..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK ALL

(Q74) How many people living in your household are children under 18?

+--+--+--+ 170-

IF ONE OR MORE AT (Q74), ALL OTHERS TO NEXT BLOCK.

ASK IF ONLY ONE CHILD AT (Q74), IF TWO OR MORE CHILDREN SKIP TO DISPLAY BEFORE SECOND (Q75).

(Q75) How is this child related to you? (DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER..... 171-	1
SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW.....	2
NIECE/NEPHEW.....	3
GRANDCHILD.....	4
OTHER RELATIVE.....	5
NOT RELATED.....	6
DON'T KNOW.....	7
REFUSED.....	8

(Q76) Is this child registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 172-	1
NO.....	2
DON'T KNOW.....	3
REFUSED.....	4

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK, ASK ALL OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 173-	1
NO.....	2
DON'T KNOW.....	3
REFUSED.....	4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", ALL OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q78) Has this child been denied status, or is he/she still waiting for a decision?

DENIED..... 174-	1
WAITING.....	2
DON'T KNOW.....	3
REFUSED.....	4

ASK IF TWO OR MORE CHILDREN AT (Q74). OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

%958,/ Starting with the oldest child (FIRST CHILD) would you please tell me ..... / Now I'd like to know about the / %960,/ youngest child / / / %962,/ next oldest child / / %964,/ (SECOND CHILD). / / %966,/ (THIRD CHILD). / / %968,/ (FOURTH CHILD). / / %970,/ (FIFTH CHILD). / / %972,/ (SIXTH CHILD). / / %974,/ (SEVENTH CHILD). / / %976,/ (EIGHTH CHILD). / / %978,/ (NINETH CHILD). / / %980,/ (TENTH CHILD). / /

(Q75) How is this %1007,/ second / / %1009,/ third / / %1011,/ fourth / / %1013,/ fifth / / %1015,/ sixth / / %1017,/ seventh / / %1019,/ eighth / / %1021,/ nineth / / %1023,/ tenth / / %1025,/ youngest / oldest / child related to you?
(DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER..... 175-	1
SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW.....	2
NIECE/NEPHEW.....	3
GRANDCHILD.....	4
OTHER RELATIVE.....	5
NOT RELATED.....	6
DON'T KNOW.....	7
REFUSED.....	8

(Q76) Is this %1047,/ second / / %1049,/ third / / %1051,/ fourth / / %1053,/ fifth / / %1055,/ sixth / / %1057,/ seventh / / %1059,/ eighth / / %1061,/ nineth / / %1063,/ tenth / / %1065,/ youngest / oldest / child registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 176-	1
NO.....	2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK, ASK OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this %1089,/ second / / %1091,/ third / / %1093,/ fourth / / %1095,/ fifth / / %1097,/ sixth / / %1099,/ seventh / / %1101,/ eighth / / %1103,/ nineth / / %1105,/ tenth / / %1107,/ youngest / oldest / child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 177- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK.

(Q78) Has this %1131,/ second / / %1133,/ third / / %1135,/ fourth / / %1137,/ fifth / / %1139,/ sixth / / %1141,/ seventh / / %1143,/ eighth / / %1145,/ nineth / / %1147,/ tenth / / %1149,/ youngest / oldest / child been denied status, or is he/she still waiting for a decision?

DENIED..... 178- 1

WAITING..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

%1173,/ Starting with the oldest child (FIRST CHILD) would you please tell me ..... / Now I'd like to know about the / %1175,/ youngest child / / %1177,/ next oldest child / / %1179,/ (SECOND CHILD). / / %1181,/ (THIRD CHILD). / / %1183,/ (FOURTH CHILD). / / %1185,/ (FIFTH CHILD). / / %1187,/ (SIXTH CHILD). / / %1189,/ (SEVENTH CHILD). / / %1191,/ (EIGHTH CHILD). / / %1193,/ (NINETH CHILD). / / %1195,/ (TENTH CHILD). / /

(Q75) How is this %1222,/ second / / %1224,/ third / / %1226,/ fourth / / %1228,/ fifth / / %1230,/ sixth / / %1232,/ seventh / / %1234,/ eighth / / %1236,/ nineth / / %1238,/ tenth / / %1240,/ youngest / oldest / child related to you?
(DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER..... 179- 1

SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW..... 2

NIECE/NEPHEW..... 3

GRANDCHILD..... 4

OTHER RELATIVE..... 5

NOT RELATED..... 6

DON'T KNOW..... 7

REFUSED..... 8

(Q76) Is this %1262,/ second / / %1264,/ third / / %1266,/ fourth / / %1268,/ fifth / / %1270,/ sixth / / %1272,/ seventh / / %1274,/ eighth / / %1276,/ nineth / / %1278,/ tenth / / %1280,/ youngest / oldest / child registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 180- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK, ASK OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this %1304,/ second / / %1306,/ third / / %1308,/ fourth / / %1310,/ fifth / / %1312,/ sixth / / %1314,/ seventh / / %1316,/ eighth / / %1318,/ nineth / / %1320,/ tenth / / %1322,/ youngest / oldest / child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 181- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK.

(Q78) Has this %1346,/ second / / %1348,/ third / / %1350,/ fourth / / %1352,/ fifth / / %1354,/ sixth / / %1356,/ seventh / / %1358,/ eighth / / %1360,/ nineth / / %1362,/ tenth / / %1364,/ youngest / oldest / child been denied status, or is he/she still waiting for a decision?

DENIED..... 182- 1

WAITING..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

%1388,/ Starting with the oldest child (FIRST CHILD) would you please tell me ..... / Now I'd like to know about the / %1390,/ youngest child / / %1392,/ next oldest child / / %1394,/ (SECOND CHILD). / / %1396,/ (THIRD CHILD). / / %1398,/ (FOURTH CHILD). / / %1400,/ (FIFTH CHILD). / / %1402,/ (SIXTH CHILD). / / %1404,/ (SEVENTH CHILD). / / %1406,/ (EIGHTH CHILD). / / %1408,/ (NINETH CHILD). / / %1410,/ (TENTH CHILD). / /

(Q75) How is this %1437,/ second / / %1439,/ third / / %1441,/ fourth / / %1443,/ fifth / / %1445,/ sixth / / %1447,/ seventh / / %1449,/ eighth / / %1451,/ nineth / / %1453,/ tenth / / %1455,/ youngest / oldest / child related to you?
(DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER..... 183- 1

SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW..... 2

NIECE/NEPHEW..... 3

GRANDCHILD..... 4

OTHER RELATIVE..... 5

NOT RELATED..... 6

DON'T KNOW..... 7

REFUSED..... 8

(Q76) Is this %1477,/ second / / %1479,/ third / / %1481,/ fourth / / %1483,/ fifth / / %1485,/ sixth / / %1487,/ seventh / / %1489,/ eighth / / %1491,/ nineth / / %1493,/ tenth / / %1495,/ youngest / oldest / child registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 184- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK, ASK OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this %1519,/ second / / %1521,/ third / / %1523,/ fourth / / %1525,/ fifth / / %1527,/ sixth / / %1529,/ seventh / / %1531,/ eighth / / %1533,/ nineth / / %1535,/ tenth / / %1537,/ youngest / oldest / child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 185- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK.

(Q78) Has this %1561,/ second / / %1563,/ third / / %1565,/ fourth / / %1567,/ fifth / / %1569,/ sixth / / %1571,/ seventh / / %1573,/ eighth / / %1575,/ nineth / / %1577,/ tenth / / %1579,/ youngest / oldest / child been denied status, or is he/she still waiting for a decision?

DENIED..... 186- 1

WAITING..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

%1603,/ Starting with the oldest child (FIRST CHILD) would you please tell me ..... / Now I'd like to know about the / %1605,/ youngest child / / %1607,/ next oldest child / / %1609,/ (SECOND CHILD). / / %1611,/ (THIRD CHILD). / / %1613,/ (FOURTH CHILD). / / %1615,/ (FIFTH CHILD). / / %1617,/ (SIXTH CHILD). / / %1619,/ (SEVENTH CHILD). / / %1621,/ (EIGHTH CHILD). / / %1623,/ (NINETH CHILD). / / %1625,/ (TENTH CHILD). / /

(Q75) How is this %1652,/ second / / %1654,/ third / / %1656,/ fourth / / %1658,/ fifth / / %1660,/ sixth / / %1662,/ seventh / / %1664,/ eighth / / %1666,/ nineth / / %1668,/ tenth / / %1670,/ youngest / oldest / child related to you?
(DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER.....	187-	1
SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW.....		2
NIECE/NEPHEW.....		3
GRANDCHILD.....		4
OTHER RELATIVE.....		5
NOT RELATED.....		6
DON'T KNOW.....		7
REFUSED.....		8

(Q76) Is this %1692,/ second / / %1694,/ third / / %1696,/ fourth / / %1698,/ fifth / / %1700,/ sixth / / %1702,/ seventh / / %1704,/ eighth / / %1706,/ nineth / / %1708,/ tenth / / %1710,/ youngest / oldest / child registered as a status Indian?

YES.....	188-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK, ASK OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this %1734,/ second / / %1736,/ third / / %1738,/ fourth / / %1740,/ fifth / / %1742,/ sixth / / %1744,/ seventh / / %1746,/ eighth / %1748,/ nineth / / %1750,/ tenth / / %1752,/ youngest / oldest / child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES.....	189-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK.

(Q78) Has this %1776,/ second / / %1778,/ third / / %1780,/ fourth / / %1782,/ fifth / / %1784,/ sixth / / %1786,/ seventh / / %1788,/ eighth / / %1790,/ nineth / / %1792,/ tenth / / %1794,/ youngest / oldest / child been denied status, or is he/she still waiting for a decision?

DENIED.....	190-	1
WAITING.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

%1818,/ Starting with the oldest child (FIRST CHILD) would you please tell me ..... / Now I'd like to know about the / %1820,/ youngest child / / %1822,/ next oldest child / / %1824,/ (SECOND CHILD). / / %1826,/ (THIRD CHILD). / / %1828,/ (FOURTH CHILD). / / %1830,/ (FIFTH CHILD). / / %1832,/ (SIXTH CHILD). / / %1834,/ (SEVENTH CHILD). / / %1836,/ (EIGHTH CHILD). / / %1838,/ (NINETH CHILD). / / %1840,/ (TENTH CHILD). / /

(Q75) How is this %1867,/ second / / %1869,/ third / / %1871,/ fourth / / %1873,/ fifth / / %1875,/ sixth / / %1877,/ seventh / / %1879,/ eighth / / %1881,/ nineth / / %1883,/ tenth / / %1885,/ youngest / oldest / child related to you?
(DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER.....	191-	1
SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW.....		2
NIECE/NEPHEW.....		3
GRANDCHILD.....		4
OTHER RELATIVE.....		5
NOT RELATED.....		6
DON'T KNOW.....		7
REFUSED.....		8

(Q76) Is this %1907,/ second / / %1909,/ third / / %1911,/ fourth / / %1913,/ fifth / / %1915,/ sixth / / %1917,/ seventh / / %1919,/ eighth / / %1921,/ nineth / / %1923,/ tenth / / %1925,/ youngest / oldest / child registered as a status Indian?

YES.....	192-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3

REFUSED..... 4

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK, ASK OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this %1949./ second / / %1951./ third / / %1953./ fourth / / %1955./ fifth / / %1957./ sixth / / %1959./ seventh / / %1961./ eighth / / %1963./ nineth / / %1965./ tenth / / %1967./ youngest / oldest / child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 193- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK.

(Q78) Has this %1991./ second / / %1993./ third / / %1995./ fourth / / %1997./ fifth / / %1999./ sixth / / %2001./ seventh / / %2003./ eighth / / %2005./ nineth / / %2007./ tenth / / %2009./ youngest / oldest / child been denied status, or is he/she still waiting for a decision?

DENIED..... 194- 1

WAITING..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

%2033./ Starting with the oldest child (FIRST CHILD) would you please tell me ..... / Now I'd like to know about the / %2035./ youngest child / / %2037./ next oldest child / / %2039./ (SECOND CHILD). / / %2041./ (THIRD CHILD). / / %2043./ (FOURTH CHILD). / / %2045./ (FIFTH CHILD). / / %2047./ (SIXTH CHILD). / / %2049./ (SEVENTH CHILD). / / %2051./ (EIGHTH CHILD). / / %2053./ (NINETH CHILD). / / %2055./ (TENTH CHILD). / /

(Q75) Now is this %2082./ second / / %2084./ third / / %2086./ fourth / / %2088./ fifth / / %2090./ sixth / / %2092./ seventh / / %2094./ eighth / / %2096./ nineth / / %2098./ tenth / / %2100./ youngest / oldest / child related to you?
(DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER..... 195- 1

SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW..... 2

NIECE/NEPHEW..... 3

GRANDCHILD..... 4

OTHER RELATIVE..... 5

NOT RELATED..... 6

DON'T KNOW..... 7

REFUSED..... 8

(Q76) Is this %2122./ second / / %2124./ third / / %2126./ fourth / / %2128./ fifth / / %2130./ sixth / / %2132./ seventh / / %2134./ eighth / / %2136./ nineth / / %2138./ tenth / / %2140./ youngest / oldest / child registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 196- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK, ASK OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this %2164./ second / / %2166./ third / / %2168./ fourth / / %2170./ fifth / / %2172./ sixth / / %2174./ seventh / / %2176./ eighth / / %2178./ nineth / / %2180./ tenth / / %2182./ youngest / oldest / child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 197- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK.

(Q75) How is this %1652,/ second / / %1654,/ third / / %1656,/ fourth / / %1658,/ fifth / / %1660,/ sixth / / %1662,/ seventh / / %1664,/ eighth / / %1666,/ nineth / / %1668,/ tenth / / %1670,/ youngest / oldest / child related to you?
(DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER.....	187-	1
SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW.....		2
NIECE/NEPHEW.....		3
GRANDCHILD.....		4
OTHER RELATIVE.....		5
NOT RELATED.....		6
DON'T KNOW.....		7
REFUSED.....		8

(Q76) Is this %1692,/ second / / %1694,/ third / / %1696,/ fourth / / %1698,/ fifth / / %1700,/ sixth / / %1702,/ seventh / / %1704,/ eighth / / %1706,/ nineth / / %1708,/ tenth / / %1710,/ youngest / oldest / child registered as a status Indian?

YES.....	188-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK, ASK OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this %1734,/ second / / %1736,/ third / / %1738,/ fourth / / %1740,/ fifth / / %1742,/ sixth / / %1744,/ seventh / / %1746,/ eighth / / %1748,/ nineth / / %1750,/ tenth / / %1752,/ youngest / oldest / child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES.....	189-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK.

(Q78) Has this %1776,/ second / / %1778,/ third / / %1780,/ fourth / / %1782,/ fifth / / %1784,/ sixth / / %1786,/ seventh / / %1788,/ eighth / / %1790,/ nineth / / %1792,/ tenth / / %1794,/ youngest / oldest / child been denied status, or is he/she still waiting for a decision?

DENIED.....	190-	1
WAITING.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

%1818,/ Starting with the oldest child (FIRST CHILD) would you please tell me ..... / Now I'd like to know about the / %1820,/ youngest child / / %1822,/ next oldest child / / %1824,/ (SECOND CHILD). / / %1826,/ (THIRD CHILD). / / %1828,/ (FOURTH CHILD). / / %1830,/ (FIFTH CHILD). / / %1832,/ (SIXTH CHILD). / / %1834,/ (SEVENTH CHILD). / / %1836,/ (EIGHTH CHILD). / / %1838,/ (NINETH CHILD). / / %1840,/ (TENTH CHILD). / /

(Q75) How is this %1867,/ second / / %1869,/ third / / %1871,/ fourth / / %1873,/ fifth / / %1875,/ sixth / / %1877,/ seventh / / %1879,/ eighth / / %1881,/ nineth / / %1883,/ tenth / / %1885,/ youngest / oldest / child related to you?
(DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER.....	191-	1
SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW.....		2
NIECE/NEPHEW.....		3
GRANDCHILD.....		4
OTHER RELATIVE.....		5
NOT RELATED.....		6
DON'T KNOW.....		7
REFUSED.....		8

(Q76) Is this %1907,/ second / / %1909,/ third / / %1911,/ fourth / / %1913,/ fifth / / %1915,/ sixth / / %1917,/ seventh / / %1919,/ eighth / / %1921,/ nineth / / %1923,/ tenth / / %1925,/ youngest / oldest / child registered as a status Indian?

YES.....	192-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3

REFUSED..... 4

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK, ASK OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this %1949,/ second / / %1951,/ third / / %1953,/ fourth / / %1955,/ fifth / / %1957,/ sixth / / %1959,/ seventh / / %1961,/ eighth / / %1963,/ nineth / / %1965,/ tenth / / %1967,/ youngest / oldest / child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 193- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK.

(Q78) Has this %1991,/ second / / %1993,/ third / / %1995,/ fourth / / %1997,/ fifth / / %1999,/ sixth / / %2001,/ seventh / / %2003,/ eighth / / %2005,/ nineth / / %2007,/ tenth / / %2009,/ youngest / oldest / child been denied status, or is he/she still waiting for a decision?

DENIED..... 194- 1

WAITING..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

%2033,/ Starting with the oldest child (FIRST CHILD) would you please tell me ..... / Now I'd like to know about the / %2035,/ youngest child / / %2037,/ next oldest child / / %2039,/ (SECOND CHILD). / / %2041,/ (THIRD CHILD). / / %2043,/ (FOURTH CHILD). / / %2045,/ (FIFTH CHILD). / / %2047,/ (SIXTH CHILD). / / %2049,/ (SEVENTH CHILD). / / %2051,/ (EIGHTH CHILD). / / %2053,/ (NINETH CHILD). / / %2055,/ (TENTH CHILD). / /

(Q75) How is this %2082,/ second / / %2084,/ third / / %2086,/ fourth / / %2088,/ fifth / / %2090,/ sixth / / %2092,/ seventh / / %2094,/ eighth / / %2096,/ nineth / / %2098,/ tenth / / %2100,/ youngest / oldest / child related to you?
(DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER..... 195- 1

SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW..... 2

NIECE/NEPHEW..... 3

GRANDCHILD..... 4

OTHER RELATIVE..... 5

NOT RELATED..... 6

DON'T KNOW..... 7

REFUSED..... 8

(Q76) Is this %2122,/ second / / %2124,/ third / / %2126,/ fourth / / %2128,/ fifth / / %2130,/ sixth / / %2132,/ seventh / / %2134,/ eighth / / %2136,/ nineth / / %2138,/ tenth / / %2140,/ youngest / oldest / child registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 196- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK, ASK OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this %2164,/ second / / %2166,/ third / / %2168,/ fourth / / %2170,/ fifth / / %2172,/ sixth / / %2174,/ seventh / / %2176,/ eighth / / %2178,/ nineth / / %2180,/ tenth / / %2182,/ youngest / oldest / child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 197- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK.

(Q78) Has this %2206./ second / / %2208./ third / / %2210./ fourth / / %2212./ fifth / / %2214./ sixth / / %2216./ seventh / / %2218./ eighth / / %2220./ nineth / / %2222./ tenth / / %2224./ youngest / oldest / child been denied status, or is he/she still waiting for a decision?

DENIED..... 198- 1

WAITING..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

%2248./ Starting with the oldest child (FIRST CHILD) would you please tell me ..... / Now I'd like to know about the / %2250./ youngest child / / %2252./ next oldest child / / %2254./ (SECOND CHILD). / / %2256./ (THIRD CHILD). / / %2258./ (FOURTH CHILD). / / %2260./ (FIFTH CHILD). / / %2262./ (SIXTH CHILD). / / %2264./ (SEVENTH CHILD). / / %2266./ (EIGHTH CHILD). / / %2268./ (NINETH CHILD). / / %2270./ (TENTH CHILD). / /

(Q75) How is this %2297./ second / / %2299./ third / / %2301./ fourth / / %2303./ fifth / / %2305./ sixth / / %2307./ seventh / / %2309./ eighth / / %2311./ nineth / / %2313./ tenth / / %2315./ youngest / oldest / child related to you?
(DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER..... 199- 1

SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW..... 2

NIECE/NEPHEW..... 3

GRANDCHILD..... 4

OTHER RELATIVE..... 5

NOT RELATED..... 6

DON'T KNOW..... 7

REFUSED..... 8

(Q76) Is this %2337./ second / / %2339./ third / / %2341./ fourth / / %2343./ fifth / / %2345./ sixth / / %2347./ seventh / / %2349./ eighth / / %2351./ nineth / / %2353./ tenth / / %2355./ youngest / oldest / child registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 200- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK, ASK OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this %2379./ second / / %2381./ third / / %2383./ fourth / / %2385./ fifth / / %2387./ sixth / / %2389./ seventh / / %2391./ eighth / / %2393./ nineth / / %2395./ tenth / / %2397./ youngest / oldest / child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 201- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK.

(Q78) Has this %2421./ second / / %2423./ third / / %2425./ fourth / / %2427./ fifth / / %2429./ sixth / / %2431./ seventh / / %2433./ eighth / / %2435./ nineth / / %2437./ tenth / / %2439./ youngest / oldest / child been denied status, or is he/she still waiting for a decision?

DENIED..... 202- 1

WAITING..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

%2463./ Starting with the oldest child (FIRST CHILD) would you please tell me ..... / Now I'd like to know about the / %2465./ youngest child / / %2467./ next oldest child / / %2469./ (SECOND CHILD). / / %2471./ (THIRD CHILD). / / %2473./ (FOURTH CHILD). / / %2475./ (FIFTH CHILD). / / %2477./ (SIXTH CHILD). / / %2479./ (SEVENTH CHILD). / / %2481./ (EIGHTH CHILD). / / %2483./ (NINETH CHILD). / / %2485./ (TENTH CHILD). / /

(Q75) Now is this %2512./ second / / %2514./ third / / %2516./ fourth / / %2518./ fifth / / %2520./ sixth / / %2522./ seventh / / %2524./ eighth / / %2526./ nineth / / %2528./ tenth / / %2530./ youngest / oldest / child related to you?
(DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER.....	203-	1
SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW.....		2
NIECE/NEPHEW.....		3
GRANDCHILD.....		4
OTHER RELATIVE.....		5
NOT RELATED.....		6
DON'T KNOW.....		7
REFUSED.....		8

(Q76) Is this %2552./ second / / %2554./ third / / %2556./ fourth / / %2558./ fifth / / %2560./ sixth / / %2562./ seventh / / %2564./ eighth / / %2566./ nineth / / %2568./ tenth / / %2570./ youngest / oldest / child registered as a status Indian?

YES.....	204-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK, ASK OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this %2594./ second / / %2596./ third / / %2598./ fourth / / %2600./ fifth / / %2602./ sixth / / %2604./ seventh / / %2606./ eighth / / %2608./ nineth / / %2610./ tenth / / %2612./ youngest / oldest / child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES.....	205-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK.

(Q78) Has this %2636./ second / / %2638./ third / / %2640./ fourth / / %2642./ fifth / / %2644./ sixth / / %2646./ seventh / / %2648./ eighth / / %2650./ nineth / / %2652./ tenth / / %2654./ youngest / oldest / child been denied status, or is he/she still waiting for a decision?

DENIED.....	206-	1
WAITING.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

%2678./ Starting with the oldest child (FIRST CHILD) would you please tell me ..... / Now I'd like to know about the / %2680./ youngest child / / %2682./ next oldest child / / %2684./ (SECOND CHILD). / / %2686./ (THIRD CHILD). / / %2688./ (FOURTH CHILD). / / %2690./ (FIFTH CHILD). / / %2692./ (SIXTH CHILD). / / %2694./ (SEVENTH CHILD). / / %2696./ (EIGHTH CHILD). / / %2698./ (NINETH CHILD). / / %2700./ (TENTH CHILD). / /

(Q75) Now is this %2727./ second / / %2729./ third / / %2731./ fourth / / %2733./ fifth / / %2735./ sixth / / %2737./ seventh / / %2739./ eighth / / %2741./ nineth / / %2743./ tenth / / %2745./ youngest / oldest / child related to you?
(DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER.....	207-	1
SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW.....		2
NIECE/NEPHEW.....		3
GRANDCHILD.....		4
OTHER RELATIVE.....		5
NOT RELATED.....		6
DON'T KNOW.....		7
REFUSED.....		8

(Q76) Is this %2767./ second / / %2769./ third / / %2771./ fourth / / %2773./ fifth / / %2775./ sixth / / %2777./ seventh / / %2779./ eighth / / %2781./ nineth / / %2783./ tenth / / %2785./ youngest / oldest / child registered as a status Indian?

YES.....	208-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3

REFUSED.....

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK, ASK OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this %2809,/ second / / %2811,/ third / / %2813,/ fourth / / %2815,/ fifth / / %2817,/ sixth / / %2819,/ seventh / / %2821,/ eighth / / %2823,/ nineth / / %2825,/ tenth / / %2827,/ youngest / oldest / child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 209- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK.

(Q78) Has this %2851,/ second / / %2853,/ third / / %2855,/ fourth / / %2857,/ fifth / / %2859,/ sixth / / %2861,/ seventh / / %2863,/ eighth / / %2865,/ nineth / / %2867,/ tenth / / %2869,/ youngest / oldest / child been denied status, or is he/she still waiting for a decision?

DENIED..... 210- 1

WAITING..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

%2893,/ Starting with the oldest child (FIRST CHILD) would you please tell me ..... / Now I'd like to know about the / %2895,/ youngest child / / %2897,/ next oldest child / / %2899,/ (SECOND CHILD). / / %2901,/ (THIRD CHILD). / / %2903,/ (FOURTH CHILD). / / %2905,/ (FIFTH CHILD). / / %2907,/ (SIXTH CHILD). / / %2909,/ (SEVENTH CHILD). / / %2911,/ (EIGHTH CHILD). / / %2913,/ (NINETH CHILD). / / %2915,/ (TENTH CHILD). / /

(Q75) Now is this %2942,/ second / / %2944,/ third / / %2946,/ fourth / / %2948,/ fifth / / %2950,/ sixth / / %2952,/ seventh / / %2954,/ eighth / / %2956,/ nineth / / %2958,/ tenth / / %2960,/ youngest / oldest / child related to you?
(DO NOT READ, USE SELECTED CATEGORIES TO PROBE IF REQUIRED, CODE RESPONSE)

SON/DAUGHTER..... 211- 1

SON/DAUGHTER-IN-LAW..... 2

NIECE/NEPHEW..... 3

GRANDCHILD..... 4

OTHER RELATIVE..... 5

NOT RELATED..... 6

DON'T KNOW..... 7

REFUSED..... 8

(Q76) Is this %2982,/ second / / %2984,/ third / / %2986,/ fourth / / %2988,/ fifth / / %2990,/ sixth / / %2992,/ seventh / / %2994,/ eighth / / %2996,/ nineth / / %2998,/ tenth / / %3000,/ youngest / oldest / child registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 212- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

IF (Q76) "YES" SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK, ASK OTHERS.

(Q77) As far as you know, has an application been made for this %3024,/ second / / %3026,/ third / / %3028,/ fourth / / %3030,/ fifth / / %3032,/ sixth / / %3034,/ seventh / / %3036,/ eighth / / %3038,/ nineth / / %3040,/ tenth / / %3042,/ youngest / oldest / child to be registered as a status Indian?

YES..... 213- 1

NO..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

ASK IF (Q77) "YES", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT CHILD/BLOCK.

(Q78) Has this %3066./ second / / %3068./ third / %3070./ fourth / / %3072./ fifth / %3074./ sixth / / %3076./ seventh / / %3078./ eighth / / %3080./ nineth / / %3082./ tenth / / %3084./ youngest / oldest / child been denied status, or is he/she still waiting for a decision?

DENIED..... 214- 1

WAITING..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

BLOCK K: EMPLOYMENT

ASK ALL

Now some questions to help us classify your answers.

(Q79) At the present time are you .....?
(READ LIST)

Employed..... 215- 1

Unemployed And Looking For Work..... 2

Attending School/College/University Full-Time..... 3

On A Training Course..... 4

Unemployed And NOT Looking For Work..... 5

DON'T KNOW..... 6

REFUSED..... 7

ASK IF (Q79) "EMPLOYED", OTHERS SKIP TO (Q82)/NEXT BLOCK.

(Q80) Are you working .....?
(READ LIST)

Full-Time, that is, 30 hours a week or more..... 216- 1

Part-Time, that is, less than 30 hours a week..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

(Q81) What type of work do you do? What type of job in what type of company or organization?
(ENTER [ESC]O TO RECORD VERBATIM
ENTER "0" (ZERO) FOR REFUSED
ENTER [ESC]D FOR DON'T KNOW)

+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+ 217-

ASK OF PEOPLE WHO REPORT A SPOUSE RESIDENT IN THE SAME HOUSEHOLD, OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q82) At the present time is your %3113./ husband / wife / .....?
(READ LIST)

Employed..... 218- 1

Unemployed And Looking For Work..... 2

Attending School/College/University Full-Time..... 3

On A Training Course..... 4

Unemployed And NOT Looking For Work..... 5

DON'T KNOW..... 6

REFUSED..... 7

ASK IF (Q82) "EMPLOYED", OTHERS SKIP TO NEXT BLOCK.

(Q83) Is %3119./ he / she / working .....?
(READ LIST)

Full-Time, that is, 30 hours a week or more..... 219- 1

Part-Time, that is, less than 30 hours a week..... 2

DON'T KNOW..... 3

REFUSED..... 4

(Q84) What type of work does your %3123./ husband / wife / do? What type of job in what type of company or organization?
(ENTER [ESC]O TO RECORD VERBATIM
ENTER "0" (ZERO) FOR REFUSED
ENTER [ESC]D FOR DON'T KNOW)

+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+ 220-

BLOCK L: CONCLUSION

The following question is for statistical purposes.

(Q85) What was your total household income in 1989 before taxes including employment and all other sources. Was it .....?
(READ LIST)

Under $10,000.....	221-	1
Between $10,000 and $25,000.....		2
Between $25,001 and $35,000.....		3
Between $35,001 and $50,000.....		4
Over $50,000.....		5
DON'T KNOW.....		6
REFUSED.....		7

(Q85A) How many people contributed to this household income?

+--+--+ 222-

ASK ONLY IF POSTAL CODE ON FILE, OTHERS SKIP TO (Q87)

(Q86) Are the first three characters of your postal code %13. ?

YES.....	223-	1
NO.....		2
DON'T KNOW.....		3
REFUSED.....		4

ASK IF (Q86) "NO" OR IF POSTAL CODE NOT ON FILE, ALL OTHERS SKIP TO (Q88).

(Q87) What is your postal code?
(ENTER FIRST 3 CHARACTERS ONLY)

+--+--+--+ 224-

(DO NOT ASK. CODE FROM INTERVIEW)
(Q88) LANGUAGE OF INTERVIEW?

ENGLISH.....	225-	1
FRENCH.....		2

This is the end of my questions. Personal Information provided during this interview will be stored in Personal Information Bank INA/P-PU-205 and will be protected under the provisions of the Privacy Act. The statistical results of the survey will be available from the Federal Department of Indian Affairs upon request. Thank you very much for your help.

IS THIS A COMPLETION?

YES.....	226-	1
YES BUT HAD A PROBLEM....		2

PLEASE EXPLAIN.

SPECIFY.....	227-	1,